## Solution 1 - Crystal Sensors

The value of $\mu_{\text {tissue }}$ is $0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mu_{\text {bone }}$ is $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $\mu_{\text {crystal }}$ is $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$


The intensity of signal (light) produced by the crystal is proportional to the attenuation of X-rays within the crystal.

The intensity of signal coming into the crystal is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{i n_{1}} & =I_{0} e^{-0.5 * 1} e^{-0.5 * 1}=I_{0} e^{-0.5 * 2} \\
I_{i n_{2}} & =I_{0} e^{-0.5 * 1} e^{-1 * 1} \\
I_{i n_{3}} & =I_{0} e^{-0.5 * 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The intensity of signal coming out of the crystal is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\text {out }_{1}}=I_{\text {in }} e^{-2 * 1} \\
& I_{\text {out }_{2}}=I_{\text {in }} e^{-2 * 1} \\
& I_{\text {out }_{3}}=I_{\text {in }}^{3}
\end{aligned} e^{-2 * 1}
$$

Therefore the intensity of signal (light) produced by the crystal is given by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S \propto \text { crystal absorption }=I_{\text {in }}-I_{\text {out }} \\
S_{1} \propto I_{i n_{1}}-I_{\text {out }_{1}}=I_{\text {in }}-I_{i n_{1}} e^{-2 * 1}=I_{0} e^{-0.5 * 2}\left(1-e^{-2 * 1}\right)=0.318 I_{0} \\
S_{2} \propto I_{i n_{2}}-I_{\text {out }_{2}}=I_{i n_{2}}-I_{i n_{2}} e^{-2 * 1}=I_{0} e^{-0.5 * 1} e^{-1 * 1}\left(1-e^{-2 * 1}\right)=0.193 I_{0} \\
S_{3} \propto I_{i n_{3}}-I_{o u t_{3}}=I_{i n_{3}}-I_{i n_{3}} e^{-2 * 1}=I_{0} e^{-0.5 * 1}\left(1-e^{-2 * 1}\right)=0.524 I_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Solution 2 - Radiation Detection

With all the conversion efficiency coefficients given for the different physical processes involved in the radiation detection, the total efficiency of the detection can be obtained by simply multiplying the efficiencies of the subprocesses

Nb of scintillation photons produced in the $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{T} 1)$ crystal : $60[\mathrm{keV}] * 30\left[\frac{\gamma}{\mathrm{keV}}\right]=1800 \gamma$
Nb of photons absorbed by the photocathode: $1800 \gamma * 80 \%=1440 \gamma$
Nb of electrons produced in the photocathode: $1440 \gamma * 0.05=72$ electrons

Nb of electrons produced after multiplication in the dynodes: $72 * 3^{10}=\mathbf{4 . 2 5} \mathbf{1 0}^{6}$ electrons

## Solution 3 - SNR Considerations

a) Since the SNR is proportional to the square root of the number of counts, the doubled injected dose increases the SNR by the square root of 2 to give a value of 71:1.
b) The activity decay is described by $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{A}_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \mathrm{t}}$.
$\lambda$ can be derived from the half-life time with the following relation : $\lambda=\frac{\ln (2)}{T_{1 / 2}}=3.2110^{-5} \mathrm{~S}^{-1}$
The number of counts (C) in the experiment is assumed to be proportional to the number of disintegrations (D): $\mathrm{C}=\alpha \mathrm{D}$ where $\alpha$ is the efficiency of the detection.
The SNR is proportional to the square root of the number of counts. The activity is the infinitesimal number of disintegrations per unit of time. Thus, the number of disintegrations during a given period is the integral of the activity in this period:
$D_{1,2}=\int_{t 1}^{t 2} A(t) d t=\int_{t 1}^{t 2} A_{0} e^{-\lambda t} d t=\frac{-1}{\lambda} A_{0}\left[e^{-\lambda t}\right]_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}=\frac{A_{0}}{\lambda}\left(e^{-\lambda t_{1}}-e^{-\lambda t_{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(A\left(t_{1}\right)-A\left(t_{2}\right)\right)$

The detected counts during this period are thereby:

$$
\mathrm{C}_{1,2}=\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\left(\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)-\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)\right)=\frac{\alpha \mathrm{A}_{0}}{\lambda}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \mathrm{t}_{1}}-\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \mathrm{t}_{2}}\right)
$$

We know now that the SNR is proportional to the number of counts in the measurement. In the case of the 30 $\min$ scan, we have:
$\mathrm{SNR}_{1} \propto \sqrt{\mathrm{C}_{0,30 \text { min }}}=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}(\mathrm{A}(0)-\mathrm{A}(30 \min ))}$
In the case of the 60 min scan, we have:
$\mathrm{SNR}_{2} \propto \sqrt{\mathrm{C}_{0,60 \text { min }}}=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}(\mathrm{A}(0)-\mathrm{A}(60 \mathrm{~min}))}$
In both cases, $\lambda$ is the same since the radiotracer is the same and $\alpha$ is also the same in both measurements, assuming same detection geometry. Thus, since we are working with proportionalities, we can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{SNR}_{1} \propto \sqrt{(\mathrm{~A}(0)-\mathrm{A}(30 \mathrm{~min}))} \\
& \mathrm{SNR}_{2} \propto \sqrt{(\mathrm{~A}(0)-\mathrm{A}(60 \mathrm{~min}))}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{A}(0)$ is in both cases 1 mCi .
$\mathrm{A}(30 \mathrm{~min})=\mathrm{A}(1800$ seconds $)=\mathrm{A}(0) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(\mathrm{t}=1800 \mathrm{~s})}=\mathrm{A}(0) \mathrm{e}^{-3.21 * 10^{-5}(\mathrm{t}=1800 \mathrm{~s})}$
Similarly, $\mathrm{A}(60 \mathrm{~min})=\mathrm{A}(3600$ seconds $)=\mathrm{A}(0) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(\mathrm{t}=3600 \mathrm{~s})}=\mathrm{A}(0) \mathrm{e}^{-3.21 * 10^{-5}(\mathrm{t}=3600 \mathrm{~s})}$
We know that the $\mathrm{SNR}_{1}=50: 1$. Let's calculate the ratio between $\mathrm{SNR}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{SNR}_{2}$ to get free from the proportionalities:
$\frac{\mathrm{SNR}_{2}}{\mathrm{SNR}_{1}}=\sqrt{\frac{(\mathrm{A}(0)-\mathrm{A}(60 \mathrm{~min}))}{(\mathrm{A}(0)-\mathrm{A}(30 \mathrm{~min}))}}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{A}(0)\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-3.21 * 10^{-5} * 3600}\right)}{\mathrm{A}(0)\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-3.21 * 10^{-5} * 1800}\right)}}=1.394$
So, since SNR $_{1}$ is 50:1, then SNR $_{2}$ is $1.394 *$ SNR $_{1}=69.7: 1$
c) We calculate first the energy of the emitted photon :

$$
E=h v=h \frac{c}{\lambda}=2.26 \mathrm{~J}=141 \mathrm{keV}
$$

In the table at the end of the series 5 , we see that the mass attenuation coefficient of iron at this energy is :

$$
\mu / \rho=1.9610^{-1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}} / \mathrm{g}
$$

The linear attenuation coefficient can then be calculated using the density of iron:

$$
\mu=\mu / \rho * \rho=1.54 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}
$$

The measured intensity behind 2 cm of iron will be :

$$
I_{1}=I_{0} e^{-\mu x}=I_{0} e^{-1.54 * 2}=0.046 I_{0}
$$

We know that the SNR goes with the square root of the number of counts (or intensity).
For $\mathrm{I}_{0}$, we had a SNR of 50:1. We have then :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{S N R_{1}}{S N R_{0}}=\frac{\sqrt{I_{1}}}{\sqrt{I_{0}}}=\frac{\sqrt{0.046 I_{0}}}{\sqrt{I_{0}}}=0.21 \\
& S N R_{1}=0.21 * S N R_{0}=11: 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution 4 - Collimation I

Sizes are displayed in the figure on the right. The resolution $R$ can be defined as the minimum distance at which two point sources can still be separated. Two triangles with an angle $\theta$ can be defined so that $\tan \theta=d / L=1 / 2(R-d) / z$, so $R=(2 d z+d L) / L$.


## Solution 5 - Collimation II

a) The measured sensitivity for ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{Tc}$ is $5.8810^{5} /\left(51.8010^{3} \cdot 2\right)=5.676$ counts $/(\mathrm{kBq} \cdot \mathrm{min})$. The factory specification is $202 \mathrm{cnts} /(\mu \mathrm{Ci} \cdot \mathrm{min})=202 / 37 \mathrm{cnts} /(\mathrm{kBq} \cdot \mathrm{min})=5.459 \mathrm{cnts} /(\mathrm{kBq} \cdot \mathrm{min})$. Here $\mu \mathrm{Ci}=37 \mathrm{kBq}$ is used. The measurement thus gives a $4 \%$ higher sensitivity.
b) The surface of the Petri dish that can be seen from P is circular and has a radius $\mathrm{R}=0.5 \mathrm{D} \cdot(\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{L}) / \mathrm{L}$ if H is the distance from the dish to the top of the collimator. The surface is then $\pi R^{2}$. Only the activity within this surface
can be attributed to the number of counts in P . The chance that radiation from this surface goes through a surface $d A$ around $P$ is equal to the relative spatial angle $\left(\omega_{r}\right)$ under which $d A$ is seen: $\omega_{r}=d A /\left(4 \pi \cdot(H+L)^{2}\right)$. The number of counts in $d A$ around $P$ is then proportional to $\pi R^{2} \cdot \omega_{r}=\pi\{0.5 \cdot D \cdot(H+L) / L\}^{2} \cdot d A /\left(4 \pi \cdot(H+L)^{2}\right)=0.0625(D / L)^{2}$ $d A=0.0625(1.45 / 24.1)^{2} d A=2.26210^{-4} d A$. This is independent of $H$. This example can be generalized by looking at a random spatial angle from a random point on the crystal.
c) The number of crystal parts under the Petri dish is given by: $\quad n=\frac{s}{\pi \frac{D^{2}}{4}}$

The part $a$ of the total activity $A$ in front of each hole is: $\quad a=\frac{A}{S} \pi \frac{D^{2}}{4}$
The radiation density $r$ seen by each crystal part is given by the spatial angle with which a point on the Petri dish "sees" the crystal part:

$$
r=a * \frac{\pi \frac{D^{2}}{4}}{4 \pi L^{2}}
$$

Finally, the total radiation density $R$ seen by the complete crystal is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=n * r=\frac{S}{\pi \frac{D^{2}}{4}} * a * \frac{\pi \frac{D^{2}}{4}}{4 \pi L^{2}}=\frac{S}{\pi \frac{D^{2}}{4}} * \frac{A}{S} \pi \frac{D^{2}}{4} * \frac{\pi \frac{D^{2}}{4}}{4 \pi L^{2}}=A * \frac{\pi \frac{D^{2}}{4}}{\begin{array}{c}
\text { geometric } \\
\text { efficiency } \varepsilon
\end{array}} \\
& \varepsilon=2.262 * 10^{-4}
\end{aligned}
$$

d) The factory specification says $202 \mathrm{cnts} /(\mu \mathrm{Cl} \cdot \mathrm{min})$ are counted. An activity of $1 \mu \mathrm{Ci}$ corresponds to $60 \cdot 3.710^{4}$ disintegrations per minute. The fraction of disintegrations that leads to a count (using $1 \mathrm{Ci}=37 \mathrm{GBq}, 1 \mathrm{~Bq}=1 / \mathrm{s}$ ) is then:
$(202 \mathrm{cnts} / \mathrm{min}) /\left(3.7 \cdot 10^{4} \mathrm{~Bq}\right)=(202 \mathrm{cnts} / 60 \mathrm{~s}) /\left(3.7 \cdot 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}\right)=9.09910^{-5}$.
e) The geometric sensitivity (c.) is higher because (I) in the real measurement not all gammas are detected (only photons that undergo photo-absorption are counted, but there is also Compton scattering), (II) we neglected the surface of lead which decreases the effective crystal surface, (III) there is attenuation in the fluid and the dish, the coating of the collimator and the protection of the Nal crystal, and (IV) ${ }^{99 \mathrm{~mm}} \mathrm{Tc}$ only emits a 140 keV photon in $88 \%$ of its disintegrations.

However, detection of scattered photons also takes place, which will slightly compensate for the effects mentioned above. Apparently the effects under (I) to (IV) dominate though.

