

Models and Methods for Random Networks: Final Exam

NAME and First Name :

Please write your name on any loose sheets. There are 7 pages. Authorized documents: official class notes only.

Maximum: 50 points

Question 1 (24 points)

Are the following statements true or false? Justify your answer briefly but as accurately, rigorously and completely as possible (if possible, refer to a definition, quote a theorem seen in class, or give a small proof for a true statement; give a comprehensive argument or a counter-example for a false statement).

1. (4 points) Consider the square box $B(n) = [-n, n] \times [-n, n]$ of the 2-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{L}^2$, whose edges are open with probability p independently of each other. Let A_n be the event that there is an open path connecting the left side of $B(n)$ to its right side, and let $\mathbb{P}_p(A_n)$ be the probability that this event occurs. Then for all $0 < p < 1$, $\mathbb{P}_p(A_n)$ decreases with the size of the box n . (T/F)

4. (4 points) Consider two graphs $H_{1,2}$, with $E_1 = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4)\}$, and $E_2 = \{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4)\}$. In a $G(n, p)$, there are asymptotically more subgraphs isomorphic to H_1 than to H_2 . (T/F)

5. (8 points) We generate two random graphs G_1 and G_2 as follows. For G_1 , we generate a $G(n, p)$ with $np = 3$, from which we randomly delete half of the edges. For G_2 , we generate a $G(n, p)$ with $np = 3/4$; then we repeat the following step until G_2 has exactly n edges: randomly select a pair of nodes (u, v) that possess a common neighbor w , and add an edge (u, v) .

- Given this construction, G_1 has a giant component (a.a.s.). (T/F)

- G_2 's largest component is larger than G_1 's largest component (a.a.s.). (T/F)

Question 2 (9 points)

Consider bond percolation on the *3-dimensional* lattice $\mathbb{L}^3$, whose edges are open with probability p independently of each other. Let p_c be the percolation threshold of $\mathbb{L}^3$.

1. (5 points) Prove that $p_c > 0$. More precisely, find $p_{\min} > 0$, as high as possible, such that you can prove that $p_c \geq p_{\min}$. The higher the value of $p_{\min}$ you find, the higher your score at this question; but the proof must be complete and correct.

2. (4 points) Prove that $p_c < 1$. More precisely, find $p_{\max} < 1$, as low as possible, such that you can prove that $p_c \leq p_{\max}$. The lower the value of $p_{\max}$ you find, the higher your score at this question; but the proof must be complete and correct.

Question 3 (10 points)

1. (4 points) For a random regular graph $G = G(n, 4)$, compute the (asymptotic) probability that G has one 4-cycle and two 5-cycles. Also compute this probability conditionally on G not having any triangles.

2. (2 points) In the same graph G , consider two specific nodes u and v . Compute the number of edges that lie on disjoint paths $u \leftrightarrow v$.

3. (4 points) Suppose we randomly delete k edges from G , and we are interested in the event that all of the disjoint paths from the previous subquestion get interrupted (have at least one edge deleted) as a result. Can you find a threshold function $k(n)$ such that when $k = o(k(n))$, then almost surely u and v remain connected, while when $k = \omega(k(n))$, then almost surely u and v get disconnected?

Question 4 (7 points)

We saw the Kleinberg navigation model in class, where we add random shortcuts to a square lattice. Each shortcut was selected i.i.d. with the same power law of exponent γ . The key result was that efficient local navigation is possible in this graph only with distance exponent $\gamma = 2$.

In this problem, we change the model slightly: for each node u , we generate two shortcuts: the first shortcut selects a node v uniformly among the nodes at distance at most k from u (where k is a constant); the second shortcut is generated as before (power law with exponent γ). Call the resulting graph G .

1. (2 points) Is the performance of local navigation in G better, worse, or equal compared to the original model? Give a short, informal argument.

2. (3 points) Now we want to prove that in G , when $\gamma \neq 2$, efficient navigation is still not possible, despite having two shortcuts. To prove this, we can consider a graph G' in which local navigation is easier than in G , and show that efficient navigation is not possible even in G' .

The graph G' is obtained as follows: each node u in G has a shortcut to *every* node v at distance at most k (plus the second shortcut generated according to the power law).

Now show that for the case $\gamma > 2$, efficient navigation in G' is not possible. Hint: you do not need to redo the whole calculation of the original proof, but explain how the proof needs to be modified for G' , and give the resulting order of number of steps.

3. (2 points) Answer the same question for $\gamma < 2$.