Artificial Neural Networks (Gerstner). Exercises for week 10

Policy Gradient

Exercise 1. (in Class): Single neuron as an actor

Assume an agent with binary actions $Y \in \{0,1\}$. Action y = 1 is taken with a probability $\pi(Y = 1|\vec{x}; \vec{w}) = g(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x})$, where \vec{w} are a set of weights and \vec{x} is the input signal that contains the state information. The function g is monotonically increasing and limited by the bounds $0 \le g \le 1$.

For each action, the agent receives a reward $R(Y, \vec{x})$.

- a. Calculate the gradient of the mean reward $\langle R \rangle = \sum_{Y,\vec{x}} R(Y,\vec{x}) \pi(Y|\vec{x};\vec{w}) P(\vec{x})$ with respect to the weight w_j . Hint: Insert the policy $\pi(Y=1|\vec{x};\vec{w}) = g(\sum_k w_k x_k)$ and $\pi(Y=0|\vec{x};\vec{w}) = 1 g(\sum_k w_k x_k)$. Then take the gradient.
- b. The rule derived in (a) is a batch rule. Can you transform this into an 'online rule'?

 Hint: Pay attention to the following question: what is the condition that we can simply 'drop the summation signs'?

Exercise 2. Subtracting the mean

You have two stochastic variables, x and y with means $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$. Angles denote expectations. We are interested in the product $z = (x - b)(y - \langle y \rangle)$ with a fixed parameter b.

- a. Show that $\langle z \rangle$ is independent of the choice of the parameter b.
- b. Show that $\langle z^2 \rangle$ is minimal if $b = \frac{\langle xf(y) \rangle}{\langle f(y) \rangle}$, where $f(y) = (y \langle y \rangle)^2$. Hint: write $\langle z^2 \rangle = F(b)$ and set dF/db = 0.
- c. What is the optimal b, if x and f(y) are approximately independent?
- d. Make the connection to policy gradient rules.

Hint: take x = r (reward) and y the action taken in state s. Compare with the policy gradient formula of the simple 1-neuron actor. What can you conclude for the best value of b? Consider different states s. Why should b depend on s?

Exercise 3. Policy gradient

- a. Policy gradient for binary actions: Find an online policy gradient rule for the weights \vec{w} for the same setup as in exercise 1 by calculating the gradient of the log-likelihood $\log \pi(Y|\vec{x};\vec{w})$ with respect to the weights. Hint: the policy π can be written as $\pi(Y|\vec{x};\vec{w}) = (1-\rho)^{1-Y}\rho^Y$ with $\rho = g(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x})$.
- b. Other parameterizations: What happens to the policy gradient rule in exercise 2.1 if the likelihood ρ of action 1 is parameterized not by the weights \vec{w} but by other parameters: $\rho = \rho(\theta)$? Derive a learning rule for θ .
- c. Generalization to the natural exponential family: The natural exponential family is a family of probability distributions that is widely used in statistics because of its favorable properties. These distributions can be written in the form

$$p(Y) = h(Y) \exp(\theta Y - A(\theta)) . \tag{1}$$

This family includes many of the standard probability distributions. The Bernoulli, the Poisson and the Gaussian distribution (with fixed variance) are all member of this family. A nice property of these distributions is that the mean can easily be calculated from the function $A(\theta)$:

$$E[Y] = A'(\theta). \tag{2}$$

Assume that the policy $\pi(Y|\vec{x};\theta)$ is an element of the natural exponential family. Show that the online rule for the policy gradient has the shape:

$$\Delta \theta = R(Y - E[Y]). \tag{3}$$

Can you give an intuitive interpretation of this learning rule?

Exercise 4. Debugging of RL algorithms

You work with an implementation of 2-step SARSA and have doubts whether your algorithm performs correctly.

You have 2 possible actions from each state. You read-out the values after n episodes and find the following values:

$$\begin{array}{l} Q(1,a1)=0,\ Q(2,a1)=5\ Q(3,a1)=3\ Q(4,a1)=4\ Q(5,a1)=6\ Q(6,a1)=12\ Q(7,a1)=10\ Q(8,a1)=11\ Q(9,a1)=9\ Q(10,a1)=10 \\ Q(1,a2)=1,\ Q(2,a2)=1\ Q(3,a2)=3\ Q(4,a2)=2\ Q(5,a2)=1\ Q(6,a2)=4\ Q(7,a2)=2\ Q(8,a2)=6\ Q(9,a2)=11\ Q(10,a1)=10 \end{array}$$

You run one episode and observe the following sequence (state, action, reward)

```
(1, a2, 1) (2, a2, 1) (3, a1, 0) (5, a1, 4) (6, a1, 1) (8, a2, 1)
```

What are the updates of 2-step SARSA that the algorithm should produce?

Exercise 5. Analysis of RL algorithms

Your friend proposes the following algorithm, using the pseudocode convention of Sutton and Barto.

```
Initialize Q(s, a) = 0
                                       for all s \in S, a \in A
Initialize \pi to be \varepsilon-greedy
Parameters: step size \alpha \in (0,1], small \varepsilon > 0
All store and access operations (for S_t, A_t, and R_t) can take their index mod 4
Repeat (for each episode):
   Initialize and store S_0 \neq terminal
   Select and store an action A_0 \sim \pi(\cdot|S_0)
   T \leftarrow 10000
   For t = 0, 1, 2, \dots:
       If t < T, then:
            Take action A_t
            Observe and store the next reward as R_{t+1} and the next state as S_{t+1}
            If S_{t+1} is terminal, then:
                T \leftarrow t+1
                Select and store an action A_{t+1} \sim \pi(\cdot|S_{t+1})
        \tau \leftarrow t –
       If \tau \geq 0:
            X \leftarrow \sum_{i=\tau+1}^{\min(\tau^{+4},T)} \gamma^{i-\tau-1} R_i
            If \tau + 4 < T, then X \leftarrow X + \gamma^{4}Q(S_{\tau+4} A_{\tau+4})
            Q(S_{\tau}, A_{\tau}) \leftarrow Q(S_{\tau}, A_{\tau}) + \alpha \left[ X - Q(S_{\tau}, A_{\tau}) \right]
    Until \tau = T - 1
```

a. Is the algorithm On-Policy or Off-Policy?

Answer:

b. What does the variable X represent?

Answer

c. Is this algorithm novel, similar to, or equivalent to an existing algorithm?

Answer (fill in/choose)

This algorithm is identical/very similar to

There is no difference to the named algorithm/the main difference is

d. Is this algorithm a TD algorithm? What is the reason for your answer?

Answer: Yes/No, because