

# Mobile Robot Design

Prof. Francesco Mondada IBI - STI - EPFL





### Introduction to Mobile Robot Design

### for Research / Education from a mechatronic/interdisciplinary perspective

Goal: give you

- Some basic concepts and methodologies
- Some hints
- Some examples

...hoping all this make sense to you and will be useful in your PhD work.

 $\checkmark$  Start with a short self-intro from everybody

 $\checkmark$  End with some feedback (moodle or directly to me)



Structure:

- Introduction
- Existing Mobile robot products
- How to develop a new robot
  - Standard product design
  - Mobile robot design in interdisciplinary research
- Case studies:
  - Khepera: Mechatronics >< Market (+flops)
  - e-puck & Thymio: Mechatronics >< Education
  - Leurre: Mechatronics >< Biology, methodology
  - S-bot & marXbot: Mechatronics >< Computer Science
  - Robotic glasses & ranger: Mechatronics >< user interaction
- Conclusion / assignements



#### Several of us are asked to design/control strange robots for research





We have a choice between:

### to buy a product

cheap but usually very closed and unflexible

### to develop a new product

expensive, long but allowing a wide range of solutions



Structure:

- Introduction
- Existing Mobile robot products
- How to develop a new robot
  - Standard product design
  - Mobile robot design in interdisciplinary research
- Case studies:
  - Khepera: Mechatronics >< Market (+flops)
  - e-puck & Thymio: Mechatronics >< Education
  - Leurre: Mechatronics >< Biology, methodology
  - S-bot & marXbot: Mechatronics >< Computer Science
  - Robotic glasses & ranger: Mechatronics >< user interaction
- Conclusion / assignements



# Existing products on the market

- Small historical background
- Existing products and features



# Small historical background: IS Robotics, genghis





# Small historical background: Nomadic, nomad / RWI, B14





# Small historical background: K-Team, Khepera





# Small historical background: ActivMedia (support from RWI), Pioneer





# Small historical background: Rug Warrior



Mobile Robots: Inspiration to Implementation, Joseph L. Jones, Anita M. Flynn, A.K.Peters, 1993



Existing products and features:

Few old companies: I-robot (IS-Robotics, RWI) K-Team Adept mobilerobots (<- MobileRobots Inc <- ActivMedia) no Nomadic anymore

many new ones



### LEGO Mindstorm RCX / NXT / EV3



firstlegoleague.org



### Khepera robot family









### Kilobot





### E-puck







### iRobot Create® 2





### FESTO Robotino





Nao





Willow Garage PR2





iCub









# Flying robots



microdrone

eBee X



A choice between:

 to buy a product cheap but usually very closed and unflexible
to collaborate with another lab or company
to contribute or make a branch of an open product
to develop a new product

expensive but allowing a wide range of solutions



Structure:

- Introduction
- Existing Mobile robot products
- How to develop a new robot
  - Standard product design
  - Mobile robot design in interdisciplinary research
- Case studies:
  - Khepera: Mechatronics >< Market (+flops)
  - e-puck & Thymio: Mechatronics >< Education
  - Leurre: Mechatronics >< Biology, methodology
  - S-bot & marXbot: Mechatronics >< Computer Science
  - Robotic glasses & ranger: Mechatronics >< user interaction
- Conclusion / assignements



#### There are some methods to design innovative products







Product Model Based Design of Precast Facades, Vesa Karhu,



#### The customer / the market





LG Electronics



### Standard methodologies for product design





Product introduction horizon Linear? Materials and capacity planning horizon D D U – Utility Feasibility V - Value V of return P - Price D Product Design and Innovation engineering leverage Iso-utility curve Product P integration Manufacturing and supply chain optimization Profit margin optimization **Demand optimization** Synchronized value proposition and demand plan Idea Development Value proposition Production Launch Target Pricing EOL Volume Elasticity commitment set commitment production diminished

http://cpd-associates.com







Creativity / design phases:



seanmcb.com/portfolio/uocd-better-box



#### Creativity / design phases:





#### How to steer creativity?









### Design process / milestones



herkules.oulu.fi/isbn9514264509/html/c635.html








ocw.mit.edu







### Example: window cleaning robot



euron.org

# EPFL

#### Mobile Robot Design

Suction pads		
Concept	Characteristic	Constraints/Disadvantages
Passive suction pad	The pad is pushed and pulled	Smooth wall.
	against a wall to crate and remove the fixation.	We need a strong bi- directional controlled force to create and remove the fixation. [Cleanbot]
Deformable suction	The pad is able to augment the	Smooth wall.
pad	internal volume (reducing the	Activation-deactivation
	pressure) trough an active device. This can make the fixation stronger and the detachment easier.	mechanism.
Vacuum suction pad	The inner part of the pad is connected to a miniature electric vacuum pump (piston or diaphragm) that can be switched on or off.	Smooth wall. Miniature vacuum pump. [Darpa, Biggalo, Mrwallspect3, Ninja]
Sliding vacuum	The pad is a vacuum type and	Very smooth wall (window)
suction pad	has, on the wall, a little	Miniature vacuum pump.
Little µd	dynamical friction coefficient. The pad could alternatively be fixed or could slide in function of the level of the vacuum	[Clim@tron]

 Table 4: Holding mechanisms based on suction pads

Michele Leidi, "Design and prototyping of a climbing robot "





Michele Leidi, "Design and prototyping of a climbing robot "



## **Combination of concepts:**

- adhesion
- lifting
- energy harvesting





# Some other well known design methods (to be combined...):

- Functions subdivision by "axiomatic design"
- "TRIZ" to be used to find solution for functions.
- Optimization of product: "QFD"



# EPFL

QFD

Refrigerator Produc	t Pl	lar	۱n	ing	g I	Ma	atr	ix					~	$\langle$	Ś	Ś		Ì	$\geqslant$	>	~																	
								,	Ľ	Ś	Ś	Ś	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ		Ŷ	Ŷ	X	X			$\geq$	$\gtrsim$	X													
Interactions:			1	$\checkmark$							X	X	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	X	X	X	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	X	XXX			XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	X	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX			$\left\langle \right\rangle$		$\geq$	~							
		Ă	Ž	X	X	X	Ŷ	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	×	X	X	X	X	2	X	X	X	Ŷ	2	2	2	X	X	Ŷ	×	À	ĥ					
	Goal	d T	+	Ŷ	4	Ŷ	↓ ⊙	1	+	+	T	T	↓	T	↓	T,	T	Ť	Ť	0	T	+	+	+	0	+	T	↓	Ť	T	↓	T						Т
Customer Needs	Priority	Compressor energy efficiency rating	Insulation efficiency	Noise measurement-front	Refrig. temp. range (on/off cycle)	Refrig. temperature variation	Refrig. cooling speed (from 30°C to 5°	Freezer temp. range (on/off cycle)	Freezer temperature variation	Freezer cooling speed (30°C to -15°C)	Volume efficiency (total/usable)	% Shelf & tray area adjustable	Drawer/shelf pull force	# of visibility features	Dis-assy & re-assy time for cleaning	% of features rated easy to clean	Freezer width	Refrigerator shelf depth & width	Freezer shelf height	Door tray depth	Warranty period (years)	Water filter replacement time & cost	10 year service contract cost	Time to disassb & reassb door	Refrigerator depth	Stainless & trim panel option prices	Focus group rating - appearance	Water temperature	Water filter indicator & life	Ice produced daily	Manufacturing cost	No. of adjustable temp. drawers	Competiti Evaluatio (1-Low, 1 High) 1 3	ive )n 5-	Sales Points	Improvement Goal	Improvement Factor	-
E Low energy consumption	4	5	5	<i>r</i>	_																_	_				_		_					U MGF A	, w	1.0	3	1.1	1
풍 Maintains temperature	3	-	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	3									-	-		-	-		_	-	-						FA MWG	30	1.0	5	1.L 1.C	j
Preserves food & freshness	3		1		5	5	5	5	5	5																						5	MFAW	GU	1.2	5	1.0	ī
Maximize storage space	5	_	3		_				_		5	-	4		2		3	3	3	_		_	_		_	_	_					-	U MG F/	A W	1.1	5	1.4	Ŀ
Energy access & visibility	4	-	-		-				-		3	5	5	5	3		1	1	1	-		-	-			-	_				-	3	A U WGF	G M	1.0	3	1.1	,
Easy to clean	2	+	$\vdash$						-				-	-	5	5		·	-														MWGFA	U	1.0	5	1.0	ĵ
Handles large containers & items	4										3	3					5	5	5	5													U WGA M	F	1.0	3	1.2	2
g Reliable, doesn't break	5	_	-		_				_	_									_	_	5	-	-	4	_	_	_						M WGA F	<u> </u>	1.0	5	1.0	Ļ
E Easy & low cost to service	1	_	-		_				-				-						_	_	5	5	5	1 5	3	_	_				-		U MAVV	G F	1.0	4	1.5	ĺ
E Fits in kitchen snace	5	+	3		-				-		5		-			-	-		-	-		-	-		5	-	-	-			-		IME A	ANG	1.0	5	1.4	i
Coordinates with kitchen décor	4	+	ľ								-														3	5	5						U MFA	WG	1.0	3	1.1	
🗟 Dispenses purified water	3				1																	3						5	5				UMFA V	/ G	1.0	3	1.0	j
Provides & dispenses purified ice	3	_	<u> </u>		_			1	_	_									_	_		3	_	_		_	_	5	5	5	-		UMFA G	; W	1.0	3	1.0	1
Low price	3	+	-		_				-	_	_						_	ę	_	_		_	-	_	_	_	_				5		M juvg	FA	1.2	15	1.2	-
	U	5.3	160	8	2:0	2.0	220	4.0	50	₽	53%	2	en .	2	4	4	8	75 450×47	4	m	5/2	2	~	ç	8	2	4	5	2	2kg	486	3 drw	U - Us M - Mayt:	ag				
	M	4	8	-			æ	。		5	%9						٩	4×47			Σ				_					ô	<u>_</u>	Δp	W - Whirl	pool				
		- wi	122	ň	4	m	ы	ف	4	2	ធ	S	4	4	2	~	è	346	0	S	ŝ	ς Γ	4	~	ñ	~	4	4	ç	ភ	ίΩ	m	F - Frigid:	aire				
Technical Evaluation	W	6		<b>_</b>	0		ឆ្ល			5	%						8	2x4			-				_					Зkg	5	γþ	A - Aman	ia				
(Italicized numbers represent subjective ratings)		ці П	15	õ	Ci.	Ci.	8	4	ri	5	<u>ي</u> %	4	4	S	с Э	5	8	x46042	с Q	ۍ ا	ŵ	с,	4	2	×	4	с О	4	5	kg 2.	4	m ≷			_			
,	-	5.5	150	34	0; C	2.0	330	9.9 9	<u></u>	13	ŝ	e	4	4	с С	0	320	446 440	n	s.	5/1	en 1	4	2	8	S)	4	4	с С	g 2.3	487	0 ∞ ≷	_					
		5.5	135	34	3.0	9:0 9	230	0.0	<u>.</u>	121	55%	4	en 1	9	с С	<i>с</i>	310	65 41 8x	<u>е</u>	ۍ	5/1	4	4	~	8	n	n	4	ę	1 2.3k	511	-b C	_					
	A	5.5	150	34	3.0	0.E	230	5.0	0.0	121	56%	е С	en 19	4	<i>с</i>	<i>m</i>	310	430X4	2	ۍ	5/1	0	4	2	3	2	<i>с</i>	4	3	2.3kç	511	ν β θ	_					
Target Value		5.8	150 Kcal/mh°C (equiv. to R-141b)	30 decibels	2°C	2°C	160 minutes	3°C	2°C	100 minutes	60%	x%	<2 Kg	Equal to Whirlpool	X minutes	X%	320mm	450mm wide x 420mm deep	Xmm	180 mm	5yr Compressor / 2yr Parts	<3 minutes & \$15	< \$99	< X minutes	24 inches	\$60 Stainless & \$40 Trim Pkg	70% rating	X°C	Yes / 6 months	2.5 kg/day	\$502	4 drawers						
Technical Difficulty (1-Low, 5-High	h)	4	5	2	1	2	1	2	2	1	5	4	2	3	2	2	3	4	1	1	3	2	1	1	5	3	3	1	3	3	5	5						
Importance Rating		22	75	5	30	27	27	30	27	27	101	36	27	24	34	10	52	52	52	24	45	38	20	9	55	22	22	30	30	15	22	28						

www.npd-solutions.com/qfd.html



Structure:

- Introduction
- Existing Mobile robot products
- How to develop a new robot
  - Standard product design
  - Mobile robot design in interdisciplinary research
- Case studies:
  - Khepera: Mechatronics >< Market (+flops)
  - e-puck & Thymio: Mechatronics >< Education
  - Leurre: Mechatronics >< Biology, methodology
  - S-bot & marXbot: Mechatronics >< Computer Science
  - Robotic glasses & ranger: Mechatronics >< user interaction
- Conclusion / assignements



## How should we design our research robots ?

Do they are standard products? Can we apply standard product design methods? Do our robot fit to products profiles? How? If not, why, where?



The customer? Which market?

Who defines the needs?

- Your colleague doing behavioral studies
- The reviewers / stakeholders
- Your thesis director?
- The funding agency
- Those who will read your articles





- difference between technical and functional requirements. Technical requirements will hardly be innovative!
- Experience versus being naïve
- Difference in background
- Quantity of thinking
- Methodology



Specifications: where are they?

Very important to clarify the specs.No marketing department.... You do the job.-Ask (yourself) for the real need and not the expected solution.

- Make them stable, written.
- Translate need into technical specifications.



Methodology: flexibility required...

Keep the global design approach, adapt for your specific case

Bring core competences, take advise on other fields

Often a methodology is defined in the project



#### B6.3 Project Structure (Pert diagram)

Graphical presentation of the project s components, showing their interdependencies (Pert diagram)









Swarm-bots



## Products are increasingly multidisciplinary...









Core competences:





## Core competences:





## Interdisciplinary work

























## Being aware of the real problems: translational!





# **Design process / milestones**

- Milestones / Deliverables are classical steps
- Often presented to experts
- Form depends on field and of the experts

# EPFL

# Selling the idea

Common in engineering (eng. to eng.)

Can become more complex when selling to:

- Your collegue doing behavioral studies
- The reviewers / stakeholders
- The funding agency
- Those who will read your articles



Open / proprietary IP

OPEN:

- not mix open data with open IP
- very common in the academic world
- welcome by funding agencies
- not compatible with industrial applications



Structure:

- Introduction
- Existing Mobile robot products
- How to develop a new robot
  - Standard product design
  - Mobile robot design in interdisciplinary research
- Case studies:
  - Khepera: Mechatronics >< Market (+flops)
  - e-puck & Thymio: Mechatronics >< Education
  - Leurre: Mechatronics >< Biology, methodology
  - S-bot & marXbot: Mechatronics >< Computer Science
  - Robotic glasses & ranger: Mechatronics >< user interaction
- Conclusion / assignements

# Scientific impact:

- Worldwide switch from simulation to Khepera
- ~1000 laboratories acquired Khepera
- Khepera in >6000 publications (on Google scholar)
- Khepera methodology is a standard in AI research
- 2 edited books (proceedings), 4 book chapters, 4 journal papers, 13 conferences
- Core paper with 676 citations
- Created one conference (AMiRE, 6 editions)
- Cover of most scientific journals (Nature in 2000)







The customer?

- Us as researchers in a given project
- Our boss
- Colleagues in Biology ans CS (neural networks research)
- More and more universities





Khepera miniature mobile robot

Specifications: where are they?

- Based on some good and innovative ideas
- Defined during the project
- Based on our own needs
- Based on our design experience
- Based on our vision of "nice design"





## Methodology Design process / milestones

- Classical engineering process based on
  - Research of solutions

EPFL

- Comparison of several solutions
- Choice and integration
- Design by iterations, few time pressure





Open / proprietary IP

EPFL

- Proprietary (EPFL) schematics, implementation and code
- Agreement with K-Team company
- Royalties from K-Team company





Selling the idea:

EPFL

- based on scientific results
- answering to strong needs
- corresponding to a trend (Alife robotics)
- becoming the tool of a community




Khepera miniature mobile robot

A good example?

Perhaps, but has not been repeated in K-Team 2nd - 3rd ... attempts failed:









#### e-puck education robot

## Achievements:

- Open robot platform for a large number of EPFL courses
- Excellent feedback from students:





■ totally agree 💷 partially agree 🗆 no opinion 🕷 partially disagree 🔳 totally disagree

- ~100 laboratories using it
- Two companies producing it, many resellers



# e-puck: clear goals

- Develop a modern and cheap desktop mobile mobile robot that can be used in university-level education as motivation tool and system example.
- Have an uniform robotic platform in education at EPFL and abroad.
- Introduce robots as education tools earlier in the curriculum.

(was clear after discussing with lot of people)







# Robot design

- Clean mechanical structure.
- Modern electronics, processor and software.
- Flexible to teach many fields.
- User friendly.
- Good robustness and simple maintenance.
- Cheap. (<500 euro)





Electronics





# Methodology, milestones

- Interative process implemented in an EPFL project
- "Classical" design methodology with a strong interaction with potential users, both teachers and classes
- Milestones in term of validation into classes



#### Mobile Robot Design

# Dissemination and visibility

- Collaboration with existing company?
  - Khepera: failed
  - E-puck: success
- New spin-off?
  - Khepera: success
  - Thymio: success
- Open product?
  - E-puck: success in visibility, ~development
  - Thymio: success in visibility, less in development





#### Software





# Proprietary to Open Software

- Toward a real community working on the software in a cooperative way (dev. tools)
- Participation of the user to the development (no gap user-developers). Large contributors middle class
- Development time spread among all layers
- Lower cash investment at all layers (time ≠ money)
- Still often based on proprietary hardware



#### Hardware





# Open Software to Open Hardware

- Introduction of development costs and equipment
- Introduction of a manufacturer in the community
- Less possibilities for participation to the developments
- Still based on components constrains



- Toward a real community working on the hardware in a cooperative way for a common need
- Adaptive community of developers
- Participation of the user to the development (no gap user-developers)
- Development time spread among all layers
- Same cash investments at all layers (time ≠ money)
- New role of the manufacturer and coordinator



# e-puck as Open Hardware

Advantages:

- Drive hardware developers into "open" dynamics
- Community providing quality and energy
- Perfect spreading in academic environment
- Fully transparent for education
- Lower support costs
- Manufacturers: competition on service quality, reduction of vendor locking

Challenges:

- Invest to spread, not common reflex
- Finding right manufacturer, business model
- Coordinate the community



# Problems found

- Open hardware does not mean "everybody can manufacture it"
- Open documentation, open production files, open access to manufacturer, open ...?
- Control or not control your community? Sort or not sort your manufacturers?
- Few real feedback, people use and do not contribute => importance of contributive tools
- How to maintain the community on the long term?



# Contributions in hardware

#### Extension turrets:







# Contributions in software

- Developing environments
- Low level libraries
- Demos



## Thymio II robot





Julien Ayer & Nicolas Le Moigne, ECAL



### Thymio 0 robot



Fanny Riedo, "Thymio: a holistic approach to designing accessible educational robots", PhD thesis 6557, EPFL, (2015)



### Thymio 0 robot





























## Thymio II robot

### Impact:

- Sold 50'000 units mainly to schools
- Convinced teachers, institutions
- New interaction features
- Innovative programming concept and environment
- Excellent base for user studies



## Thymio II robot

#### The customer?

- Us for promotion of technology
- Our boss
- Colleagues in promotion of science
- More and more schools and teachers



## Thymio II robot

#### Specifications: where are they?

- Based on a lot of feedback combined with some innovative ideas
- Defined during three years of project
- Based on our own needs
- Based on our design experience
- Fitting mass-production needs













#### Market Study with HEIG-VD Parents feedback on Thymio I, n=65



Fanny Riedo, "Thymio: a holistic approach to designing accessible educational robots", PhD thesis 6557, EPFL, (2015)



#### Parents feedback on Thymio I, n=65



Fanny Riedo, "Thymio: a holistic approach to designing accessible educational robots", PhD thesis 6557, EPFL, (2015)



#### Parents feedback on Thymio I, n=65





#### Which features would you like to add? (n=65)



Fanny Riedo, "Thymio: a holistic approach to designing accessible educational robots", PhD thesis 6557, EPFL, (2015)



Thymio II

school

teachers

robot in the

curriculum:

#### **Mobile Robot Design**



People who had used Thymio in class

Fig. 5: Motivation of teachers: each type of motivation was measured by three different questions. Amotivation is not considered because this study covers only teachers who had decided to act, by attending at least one training session.

Chevalier, Morgane, Fanny Riedo, and Francesco Mondada. "Pedagogical Uses of Thymio II: How Do Teachers Perceive Educational Robots in Formal Education?." *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine* 23, no. 2 (2016): 16-23.

Motivation of the respondents (n = 42)

#### Mobile Robot Design

Thymio II robot in the school curriculum: teachers



Chevalier, Morgane, Fanny Riedo, and Francesco Mondada. "Pedagogical Uses of Thymio II: How Do Teachers Pereeive Educational Robots in Formal Education?." *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine* 23, no. 2 (2016): 16-23.



Thymio II robot in the school curriculum: teachers



(a) Professional skills teachers consider necessary in order to use Thymio with their class.

Chevalier, Morgane, Fanny Riedo, and Francesco Mondada. "Pedagogical Uses of Thymio II: How Do Teachers Perceive Educational Robots in Formal Education?." *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine* 23, no. 2 (2016): 16-23.
### Mobile Robot Design



(a) Domains of the Swiss curriculum (PER)

Chevalier, Morgane, Fanny Riedo, and Francesco Mondada. "Pedagogical Uses of Thymio II: How Do Teachers Perceive Educational Robots in Formal Education?." *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine* 23, no. 2 (2016): 16-23.

### Mobile Robot Design

Thymio II robot in the school curriculum



Transversal skills in which teachers find Thymio useful (n = 43)

(b) Transversal objectives of the Swiss curriculum (PER)

Chevalier, Morgane, Fanny Riedo, and Francesco Mondada. "Pedagogical Uses of Thymio II: How Do Teachers Pereeive Educational Robots in Formal Education?." *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine* 23, no. 2 (2016): 16-23.

# Thymio II robot

# Methodology Design process / milestones

- Classical approach, lot of constraints for low price
- Design by iterations, no real time pressure







# Thymio II robot

- Open / proprietary IP
- Open

schematics, implementation and code

- Manufacturing by a non-profit association
- Main result: image, not participation!





### Thymio II robot

### Selling the idea:

- based on the robotic festival, and contacts
- answering to strong needs
- corresponding to a trend (digitalization)
- low cost



# Thymio II robot

### Research topics:

- Robot mechatronics for education
- Interaction for education
- User studies (eye-tracking)
- Acceptance in school
- Biological studies



# Leurre project

EPFL

### Achievements

- First creation of a mixed society (insects and robots well integrated)
- New knowledge on insects societies rules
- Methodology to influence animal collective decisions by artificial creatures
- Results on animal society decisions influenced by robots

### Scientific impact

- Publication on SCIENCE in November 2007
- Continuation with SNF and FP7 on vertebrates
- Large H2020 continuation on bees





Leurre project The customer / the market • Our colleagues biologists

Specification sources

• Defined during the project, following the methodology









### Leurre project: methodology





### Leurre project: methodology









### Leurre project: methodology





### Selling the idea

- Impact on people imagination
- Based on scientific impact (SCIENCE publication)



### Research activities





### Research activities



### Swarm-bots

EPFL

### Achievements

- Most advanced robotic collective system (number of sensors, actuators, self-assembling ability)
- New knowledge on swarm systems
- Creation of a dedicated research platform

### Impact

- Swarm-bots project seen as "success story" by FET
- Large number of publications
- s-bot ranked on position 39 in the list of "The 50 Best Robots Ever" (fiction or real) by the Wired Journal in 2006











### Swarm-bots

### The customer?

- Colleagues in the project (CS)
- Reviewers

### Specifications?

• Long and hard process...











































### **S-Bot versus Swarm-Bot**

















































### **Sensors** \_ 360° Camera + Inclinometer + Irs







### 







### **Sensors** Micros + 360° Camera +IRs






#### **360° Camera + Inclinometer + Emitter/Receiver in gripper**







### **Sensors** , 360° Camera + Inclinometer + IRs







Optional sensors Temperature Pyro Custom light Bar code (already camera...) Humidity Compass (Real Time Clock)





360° Camera Leds Sound

IRs





















## Hardware overview

• Mechatronic design







http://asl.epfl.ch Autonomous Systems Lab



## Hardware overview

• Electronic structure



I2C bus







## Swarm-bots

## Methodology?

- Based on project milestones
- Iterative





## Overview of the second year activity





### From design to prototype 0





## EPFL

## From design to prototype 0





## From prototype 0 to prototype 1







12 13

## From prototype 0 to prototype 1

• Tests

- Good subsystems (treels<sup>©</sup>, gripper parts, electronics)
- Assembly problems (electronic components, fixation, etc)
- Goals
  - Correct problems found in test phase
  - Get a full s-bot for complete testing

### Integration

- Sharing mechanical informations
- Global discussion about sensors / actuators
- Results
  - Assembly of the whole mechanics
  - Implementation of the slave electronics (no main CPU)
  - Programming of the slave electronics



## From prototype 1 to prototype 2









## From prototype 1 to prototype 2

- Tests
  - Functional (gripper, arm) and electronics problems
  - Mobility (sensors/actuators) functionality ensured
- Goals
  - Correct problems found in test phase (priority gripper)
  - Get a second s-bot for swarm-bot configurations
  - Implement the main CPU / camera / sound prototype

#### Integration

- Sharing mechanical / control informations
- Continous discussion about sensors / actuators
- Results
  - Improved design ensuring swarm-bot fuctionality
  - Core CPU design and realization (external hard + soft)
  - Implementation of API



### From prototype 1 to prototype 2

- Results
  - Xscale board
    - 400Mhz
    - 64M RAM
    - 32M flash
    - WiFi on CF
    - USB master
  - LINUX
  - S-bot API





### From prototype 2 to production









## From prototype 2 to production

- Tests
  - Minor problems with tracks/gripper and master USB
  - Good functionality of gripper elevation and CPU
- Goals
  - Correct all problems found in test phase
  - Integrate core CPU / sound / camera into s-bot
  - Design and test 2D force sensor
  - Start production
- Integration and test
  - Sharing detailed control information
  - Give data on sensors and discuss traction sensor design

### • Results

- Fully embedded system
- Matching with simulation
- Production s-bot fully defined and production started





## From prototype 2 to production



- Status on month 23
  - LINUX camera drivers still under development
  - Camera optics redesigned and under construction
  - 35 s-bots under production (10 with higher priority)
  - Hardware conclusion
    - S-bot is a very unique robot
      - Inter-robot connection ability
      - Large dimension in actuators (9) and sensors (~50)
      - Worldwide better ratio performances / size
      - Powerful parallel computationl structure (Xscale + 13 PICs)
      - Software tools (LINUX, WiFi) used in much bigger robots
    - Strong bidirectional interaction with simulation
    - Innovative technical solutions



### Swarm-bots

### Selling the idea:

- people imagination
- selling the hardware
- videos











## EPFL

# marXbot: improvement of s-bot

- Learn from problems found in the s-bot
  - Correct them
  - Adapt solution to new challanges
- Allow its use in a set of projects
  - Make it modular
  - Include larger set of sensors



## marXbot: features







## marXbot: features







## marXbot: features







## marXbot: features





## marXbot: features





## marXbot: features





# Robotic glasses

- How will robotic enter in our daily life?
  - Humanoids?
  - Home of the future?
- What type of interaction with robots?
  - Human-based
  - Machine-based
  - How will robots understand our needs?



## Cloth Grasp Point Detection based on Multiple-View Geometric Cues with Application to Robotic Towel Folding Jerem bard Marco vner id Computer Science Department of Electric University of Call Berkeley International Conference on Robotics and Automation, 2010


## Mobile Robot Design





#### Mobile Robot Design

# Ranger







#### Mobile Robot Design





# Conclusion:

- 1. If you have good ideas, share them
- 2. Focus on implementation, not ideas
- 3. Look for the real specification of your system
- 4. Plan and set milestones
- 5. Exploit all possibilities to sell your ideas
- 6. Contribute to open projects
- 7. Keep the priorities in the right order
- 8. How to « sell » technical work in a thesis?



### Assignements:

- Robotics-related needs of primary school teachers
- Methodology and motivation for your robot design
- Comparison of methodology between art / design / engineering
- Open hardware strategy / analysis of impact in an open project

•