

Solution 1: Diffusion MRI Quiz

- a) As you have learned in week 12, the spin echo sequence refocuses ("rewinds") also phase differences which are due to (external) magnetic field inhomogeneities. Since the diffusion encoding results in dephasing, additional phases due to other effects are undesirable. This is why gradient echoes (in which the phases due to inhomogeneity are not refocused) are rarely used for diffusion imaging.
- b) The diffusion encoding inherently implies a very high sensitivity to motion; not only to molecular motion, but also to bulk motion, i.e. when a patient moves his head. Consequently, a single image volume (in which one diffusion direction was encoded) has to be acquired very rapidly. This is why, most of the times, the spin-echo version of one of the quickest MR imaging sequences (called echo planar imaging) is used for diffusion imaging.
- c) As seen in slide 13-6, the diffusion coefficient is related to the measured signal and applied b-value as follows $S = S_0 e^{-bD}$ Thus, the diffusion coefficient yields

$$D = \frac{ln(0.4)}{-500} = 1.8 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{mm^2}{s}$$

Solution 2: Relaxation and Diffusion Imaging

We are interested in characterizing the magnetic resonance properties of two compounds.

a) T_1 is the spin-lattice characteristic relaxation time. It characterizes the processes with which the magnetization relaxes to its equilibrium. To do that, energy has to be transferred to the environment (lattice) as heat. The energy is exchanged by quantum of $\hbar\omega_L$.

 T_2 is the spin-spin characteristic relaxation time. It characterizes the processes with which the components of the macroscopic magnetization lose coherence and dephase. This dephasing is due to the little fluctuations of B_0 around each nucleus caused by Brownian motion of the molecules and the resulting dipolar couplings either between the nuclei or with the solvent.

- b) T_2^* is the experimentally measured decay of the transverse magnetization. It is shorter than T_2 , due to additional B_0 inhomogeneities due to the non-perfectly homogeneous magnet. This two characteristic times are related by $\frac{1}{T_2} = \frac{1}{T^2} + \gamma \Delta B_0$
- c) An inversion recovery is a good way to measure T₁. You apply a 180° pulse and wait for a certain time TI. Then you apply a 90° pulse and measure the signal. TI is varied from one measurement to the next but the echo time of the measurement is kept constant to obtain a similar T₂ contribution from one measurement to the other. Interpolating the measures with different TI gives an exponential growing function with characteristic time T₁. To measure T₂, a spin-echo sequence can be applied, since it refocuses the decay due to experimental inhomogeneities (which are constant in time) (see lecture 12).

 T_2^* can be measured with a gradient echo sequence (see lecture 11).

d) Decaying curves will be representative of T_2 and T_2^* decays, while rising curves are measure of the recovery of the z magnetization (characterized by T1).



For T₂ and T₂* decays, the signal is after T₂ (or T₂*) at about 37% of what it was at time zero ($e^{\frac{-T_2}{T_2}} \approx 0.37$). Looking at the curves, we see that the compound A has a characteristic time of 60ms in graph 1 and 28 ms in graph 3A. We know that T₂*< T₂. So, graph 3 is a plot of T₂*decay.

For T₁, we saw in series 9 ex.3a that the signal is zero at t=T₁·ln(2). From this we can extract T₁ from the graph 2. We get for compound A: T_1 = 900ms T_2 =60ms T_2 *=28ms Similarly, for compound B: T_1 = 1200ms T_2 =100ms T_2 *=40ms

e) We saw (slide 12-12) that the measured signal is related to the relaxation constants by the following equation: $S \rho e^{\frac{-TE}{T_2}} \left(1 - 2e^{\frac{-TR}{T_1}}\right)$ or $S \rho e^{\frac{-TE}{T_2}} \left(1 - 2e^{\frac{-TR}{T_1}}\right)$ depending on if use a gradient or spin echo sequence.

Measuring T₁ with very short TE gives a signal difference for $TR = \frac{1}{2} (T_{1A} + T_{1B})$ (where the signal difference is optimal, see series 12 ex.1c):

$$\Delta S \left| \left(1 - 2e^{\frac{-TR}{T_{1A}}} \right) - \left(1 - 2e^{\frac{-TR}{T_{1B}}} \right) \right| = 0.21$$

Measuring T₂ with very long TR gives a signal difference for $TE = \frac{1}{2}(T_{2A} + T_{2B})$:

$$\Delta S \left| e^{\frac{-TE}{T_{2A}}} - e^{\frac{-TE}{T_{2B}}} \right| = 0.19$$

Measuring T₂* with very long TR gives a signal difference for $TE = \frac{1}{2} (T_{2} + T_{2})$: $\Delta S \left| e^{\frac{-TE}{T_2}} - e^{\frac{-TE}{T_2}} \right| = 0.13$ The T₁ contrast gives the best signal difference.

- f) Another type of contrast can be achieved with diffusion experiments.
 - I. Like in point d), we know that the signal is at 37% of its value at t=0 when D=1/b. So, we find for the two compounds a diffusion coefficient of $D_A=0.001$ and $D_B=0.002$ [mm²/s].
- g) The signal each of the two compounds will be proportional to e^{-bD} . So, the signal difference can be written as: $\Delta S \left| e^{-bD_A} - e^{-bD_B} \right|$

We search the maximum of ΔS :

$$\frac{d\Delta S}{db} = 0 \left| -D_A e^{-bD_A} + D_B e^{-bD_B} \right| = 0b = \frac{\ln(D_B/D_A)}{D_B - D_A} = 693[s/mm^2]$$

For this value, we have $\Delta S \left| e^{-bD_A} - e^{-bD_B} \right| = 0.25$

Thus, the diffusion gives even a better contrast on these two compounds than T_1 .

Solution 3: Combining Your Knowledge

Fundamentals of Bioimaging (Prof. Gruetter)

Solutions to Problem Set No. 13



Question n°	Ultrasounds	СТ	SPECT	PET	MRI
1	No, because of	No, very little	Theoretically yes,	but since MRI is	Yes, using a
	the total	soft tissue	working well here, one would		contrast agent
	reflection on	contrast.	rather choose MRI since it is non-		injection (which
	the skull.		invasive.		enters the lesion
					because of the
					blood-brain
					barrier
					breakdown)
2	No, total	Yes, good bone-	No, they need tracer injection		No, no MR signal
	reflection of	tissue contrast			from bones
	ultrasound				
	waves on				
	bones.				
2	No. adapted	No vonulittlo	Yos with an	Vac bassusa	No would take
5	for located	soft tissue	adapted tracer	high EDG	too much to
	investigation	contrast	linked to an	concentration	image the whole
	areas and not	contrast.	energetic	in metastasis	hody and analyze
	sensitive		molecule(after tracer	the images
	enough.		metastasis have	injection	
			a high energetic		
			metabolism)		
			,		
4	No, no	No, no contrast	Theoretically yes, but since MRI is		Yes, well adapted
	sensitive		working well here, one would		for soft tissue
	enough		rather choose MIRI since it is non-		contrast.
			invasive.		
5	No, adapted	Yes, good	No, they need tracer injection		No, adapted for
	for soft tissue	contrast			proton (water)
	contrast	between soft			imaging
		tissues and solid			
		material.			
6	No, to deep	No, no	Yes, measure of	Yes, for the	No, less sensitive
	area	information on	the heart signal	same reason as	technique
	surrounded by	blood flow	after a tracer	for SPECT	
	bones		injection allow		
			to detect low		
			concentration		
			areas as low		
			blood flow areas		
7	Yes, non-	No, ionization an	No. invasive and no adapted for		No, because of
	invasive and	no contrast for	soft tissue imaging		the foetus
	good contrast				

Fundamentals of Bioimaging (Prof. Gruetter)

Solutions to Problem Set No. 13



for soft tissues	soft tissues	movements