Artificial Neural Networks (Gerstner). Exercises for week 4

Policy Gradient

Exercise 1. (in Class): Single neuron as an actor

Assume an agent with binary actions $Y \in \{0,1\}$. Action y = 1 is taken with a probability $\pi(Y = 1 | \vec{x}; \vec{w}) = g(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x})$, where \vec{w} are a set of weights and \vec{x} is the input signal that contains the state information. The function g is monotonically increasing and limited by the bounds $0 \le g \le 1$.

For each action, the agent receives a reward $R(Y, \vec{x})$.

- a. Calculate the gradient of the mean reward $\langle R \rangle = \sum_{Y,\vec{x}} R(Y,\vec{x})\pi(Y|\vec{x};\vec{w})P(\vec{x})$ with respect to the weight w_j . Hint: Insert the policy $\pi(Y = 1|\vec{x};\vec{w}) = g(\sum_k w_k x_k)$ and $\pi(Y = 0|\vec{x};\vec{w}) = 1 - g(\sum_k w_k x_k)$. Then take the gradient.
- b. The rule derived in (a) is a batch rule. Can you transform this into an 'online rule'? Hint: Pay attention to the following question: what is the condition that we can simply 'drop the summation signs'?

Exercise 2. Subtracting the mean

You have two stochastic variables, x and y with means $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$. Angles denote expectations. We are interested in the product $z = (x - b)(y - \langle y \rangle)$ with a fixed parameter b.

- a. Show that $\langle z \rangle$ is independent of the choice of the parameter b.
- b. Show that $\langle z^2 \rangle$ is minimal if $b = \frac{\langle xf(y) \rangle}{\langle f(y) \rangle}$, where $f(y) = (y \langle y \rangle)^2$. Hint: write $\langle z^2 \rangle = F(b)$ and set dF/db = 0.
- c. What is the optimal b, if x and f(y) are approximately independent?
- d. Make the connection to policy gradient rules.

Hint: take x = r (reward) and y the action taken in state s. Compare with the policy gradient formula of the simple 1-neuron actor. What can you conclude for the best value of b? Consider different states s. Why should b depend on s?

Exercise 3. Policy gradient

- a. Policy gradient for binary actions: Find an online policy gradient rule for the weights \vec{w} for the same setup as in exercise 1 by calculating the gradient of the log-likelihood $\log \pi(Y|\vec{x};\vec{w})$ with respect to the weights. Hint: the policy π can be written as $\pi(Y|\vec{x};\vec{w}) = (1-\rho)^{1-Y}\rho^Y$ with $\rho = g(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x})$.
- b. Other parameterizations: What happens to the policy gradient rule in exercise 3.a if the likelihood ρ of action 1 is parameterized not by the weights \vec{w} but by other parameters: $\rho = \rho(\theta)$? Derive a learning rule for θ .
- c. Generalization to the natural exponential family: The natural exponential family is a family of probability distributions that is widely used in statistics because of its favorable properties. These distributions can be written in the form

$$p(Y) = h(Y) \exp\left(\theta Y - A(\theta)\right) \,. \tag{1}$$

This family includes many of the standard probability distributions. The Bernoulli, the Poisson and the Gaussian distribution (with fixed variance) are all member of this family. A nice property of these distributions is that the mean can easily be calculated from the function $A(\theta)$:

$$E[Y] = A'(\theta) . \tag{2}$$

Assume that the policy $\pi(Y|\vec{x};\theta)$ is an element of the natural exponential family. Show that the online rule for the policy gradient has the shape:

$$\Delta \theta = R(Y - E[Y]). \tag{3}$$

Can you give an intuitive interpretation of this learning rule?

Exercise 4. Debugging of RL algorithms

You work with an implementation of 2-step SARSA and have doubts whether your algorithm performs correctly.

You have 2 possible actions from each state. You read-out the values after n episodes and find the following values:

 $\begin{array}{l} Q(1,a1)=0, \ Q(2,a1)=5 \ Q(3,a1)=3 \ Q(4,a1)=4 \ Q(5,a1)=6 \ Q(6,a1)=12 \ Q(7,a1)=10 \ Q(8,a1)=11 \ Q(9,a1)=9 \ Q(10,a1)=10 \end{array}$

 $Q(1,a2)=1,\;Q(2,a2)=1\;Q(3,a2)=3\;Q(4,a2)=2\;Q(5,a2)=1\;Q(6,a2)=4\;Q(7,a2)=2\;Q(8,a2)=6\;Q(9,a2)=11\;Q(10,a1)=10$

You run one episode and observe the following sequence (state, action, reward)

(1, a2, 1) (2, a2, 1) (3, a1, 0) (5, a1, 4) (6, a1, 1) (8, a2, 1)

What are the updates of 2-step SARSA that the algorithm should produce?

Exercise 5. Analysis of RL algorithms

Your friend proposes the following algorithm, using the pseudocode convention of Sutton and Barto.

Initialize Q(s, a) = 0for all $s \in S, a \in A$ Initialize π to be ε -greedy Parameters: step size $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, small $\varepsilon > 0$. All store and access operations (for S_t , A_t , and R_t) can take their index mod 4 Repeat (for each episode): Initialize and store $S_0 \neq$ terminal Select and store an action $A_0 \sim \pi(\cdot | S_0)$ $T \leftarrow 10000$ For $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$: If t < T, then: Take action A_t Observe and store the next reward as R_{t+1} and the next state as S_{t+1} If S_{t+1} is terminal, then: $T \leftarrow t+1$ else: Select and store an action $A_{t+1} \sim \pi(\cdot | S_{t+1})$ $\tau \leftarrow t$ – If $\tau \geq 0$: $\begin{array}{l} X \leftarrow \sum_{i=\tau+1}^{\min(\tau + 4, T)} \gamma^{i-\tau-1} R_i \\ \text{If } \tau + 4 < T, \text{ then } X \leftarrow X + \gamma^{\mathcal{A}} Q(S_{\tau + 4} | A_{\tau + 4}) \end{array}$ $Q(S_{\tau}, A_{\tau}) \leftarrow Q(S_{\tau}, A_{\tau}) + \alpha \left[\mathbf{X} - Q(S_{\tau}, A_{\tau}) \right]$ Until $\tau = T - 1$

a. Is the algorithm On-Policy or Off-Policy?

Answer:

b. What does the variable X represent?

Answer

c. Is this algorithm novel, similar to, or equivalent to an existing algorithm? Answer (fill in/choose)

This algorithm is identical/very similar to

There is no difference to the named algorithm/the main difference is

d. Is this algorithm a TD algorithm? What is the reason for your answer? Answer: Yes/No, because