

Numéro Sciper	size (1)	originality (2)	specified layout (0,5)	readability & structure (0,5)	ref numbering & complete info (1,5)	ref DOI or link (0,5)	spelling & grammar (2)	sentence style (2)	synthesis: identified contributions (2,5)	synthesis: summary of selected ref (0,5)	Citation analysis: tool & time spread (1)	citation analysis: number[4,8] & type (1)	citation analysis: quality of discussion (3)	citation analysis: final conclusion (2)	PAPER STUDY Total (20)	comments
214977	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,50	2,00	1,75	2,50	0,50	1,00	1,00	3,00	2,00	19,75	good, "as a mean" -> "as a means"
239613	1,00	1,50	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,50	2,00	2,00	2,50	0,50	1,00	0,50	3,00	2,00	19,00	good but consider using double quotes for cited text (e.g. in the intro). High proportion of "review" in the citation analysis
241601	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,00	0,00	1,50	1,50	2,00	0,25	1,00	1,00	2,50	1,00	15,75	some concepts from [2] and [3] are explained only in the citation analysis part ; the summary of [2] and [3] should be in page1. ref 10 incomplete, conclusion focuses too much on appearance, ignoring the quality of movement. Numbering not respected, Missing DOI
246095	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,50	2,00	2,00	2,50	0,50	1,00	1,00	3,00	1,50	19,50	good but conclusion a bit too vague ; fiable -> reliable
250083	1,00	0,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,50	1,50	2,00	2,50	0,50	1,00	1,00	3,00	1,00	16,50	interesting but too much cited text is not within double quotes.
250482	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,50	2,00	0,00	2,00	0,00	1,00	1,00	1,50	1,00	14,50	vocabular: captor -> sensor ; cited -> cited ; incorrect description of the bubble technique: it's a rate control method.
257232	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,50	2,00	2,00	2,50	0,50	1,00	1,00	3,00	2,00	20,00	good, only one typo "produce or presence"
260589	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,25	0,00	2,00	1,50	2,50	0,50	1,00	1,00	3,00	1,50	18,25	ok, missing DOI, ref numbering not respected, ref 5 incomplete.
261184	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,50	2,00	2,00	2,50	0,50	1,00	1,00	3,00	2,00	20,00	good
262541	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,50	1,50	1,50	2,50	0,50	1,00	1,00	3,00	1,50	18,50	some explanation is missing after "generalized that the effect" .why this use of quadruple quotes here and there ? A few typos and sentences with grammar issue.conclusion too
262744	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,50	1,50	1,50	2,00	0,50	1,00	1,00	2,00	1,50	17,00	ok, some lack of context to understand "optic flow is more important than egocentric position", proofreading necessary, citation analysis too short

270015	1,00	1,50	0,50	0,50	1,00	0,00	1,50	1,00	2,00	0,25	1,00	0,50	1,50	0,50	12,75	some parts are obscure such as when discussing refs 1 and 3 (not summarized), proofreading necessary, improve style, use double quotes for cited text, citation analysis too short and conclusion too vague, reference not complete, no DOI where applicable. Numbering not respected.
270630	1,00	1,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,50	2,00	2,00	2,50	0,50	1,00	1,00	3,00	2,00	19,00	ok but use double quotes to cite text rather than paraphrase it.
272432	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,25	2,00	1,50	2,00	0,50	1,00	1,00	2,50	2,00	18,25	ok, proofreading needed in synthesis (one incomplete sentence) ; + at that time there were no "deep" NN, some DOI missing
273666	1,00	2,00	0,00	0,50	1,50	0,00	2,00	1,50	2,00	0,50	1,00	1,00	3,00	2,00	18,00	ok, style could be better (intro, synthesis). Does not respect the specified layout, missing DOI.
275071	1,00	1,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,50	2,00	2,00	2,50	0,25	1,00	1,00	3,00	1,50	18,25	too much cited text without double quotes in the first part ; missing summary of some refs in the first part, good
294638	1,00	0,00	0,00	0,25	1,50	0,50	0,50	0,50	2,50	0,50	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,50	11,75	Please proof-read ! The pdf contains also the specifications. Grammar and style need improvements. Too much paraphrasing.
294916	1,00	1,50	0,00	0,50	1,50	0,00	1,50	1,50	2,00	0,50	1,00	1,00	2,50	2,00	16,50	rather use double quotes for cited text, does not respect specified layout, too long, missing DOI. ref numbering not respected.
303887	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,00	1,50	1,50	2,00	0,00	1,00	1,00	2,50	1,50	16,50	some typos (constrain->constraint), the text is sometimes not easy to follow, in particular the conclusion about Comp. Vision. Selected ref summary not that clear, studied paper numbering not respected, missing DOI.
309161	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,50	2,00	2,00	2,50	0,50	1,00	1,00	3,00	2,00	20,00	nice work ; feed-back -> feedback
312733	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,00	1,00	0,50	1,00	1,00	2,50	0,50	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,00	13,00	issues with vocabular, grammar and style ; citation analysis far too short ; no conclusion , missing ref 7.
313794	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,50	1,50	1,50	2,00	0,50	1,00	1,00	2,00	2,00	17,50	some sentences are difficult to understand without knowing the papers they refer to. The citation analysis part needs proofreading

319963	1,00	2,00	0,50	0,50	1,50	0,00	1,50	1,50	2,50	0,50	1,00	1,00	2,50	1,75	17,75	good, one confusion between immersion and presence, some proofreading necessary, discussion of ref 8 is unclear, most DOI are missing
--------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-------	---