

#### **4 risk averse players**

“Seeking to minimise the risk of a large catastrophe” (goal: 70%)

“I am personally not too much interested in profit.” “We should invest more in the public fund in the beginning to rapidly decrease the probability of catastrophes.”

“I rather like everyone to be safe than me getting richer” (compensating for some low contributions of others). “I believe everyone will be more generous this time.”

“I am not contributing more because I allocated all of it last time to the climate fund and I expect everybody to contribute”.

#### **2 free riders**

“exploring what everyone else is doing”

“I'm targeting about 30% share in the fund, so I can always put money later in it”

#### **1 partial free rider**

“essentially trying to freeride without leading to a breakdown in cooperation”

#### **5 players of the fifty-fifty type**

“a combination of a sufficiently significant contribution, fairness, and reinforcing a “reasonable” group dynamic started in round 1”

“a good compromise to keep a quite low risk of small catastrophe while keeping a good private profit”

“do my part by allocating at least half of my resources to the public fund, but at the same time retain some for private use” (at this point)

“Hoping to reach 50% in the public fund. Counting on a long-time move”