

# Review of Lampson's Hints

## Sec. 2

POCS'2020 Recitation  
Mark Sutherland

# Roadmap for Today

1. Exercise in Writing a Specification [40 min]
2. Critiquing our Specs [15 min]
3. Break [5m]
4. Modules and Layering [20 min]
5. Classes and Objects [20 min]

## 2.1 - Have a Specification

Key points of Lampson's idea of a specification:

- Specifies what, not how
- Decouples the clients of a system, from the implementation of a system
- The code itself is a refinement of the spec

## 2.1 - Have a Specification

What comprises the spec:

- Externally-visible state of the system
- Actions taken by clients, their effects on the state

Difference between the state and an interface, in the example of an FS?

- State includes files, and directories
- An interface can include read/write/open/delete

# Exercise in Specification

In groups, sketch a spec for Lampson's Global Name Service

- Start with the client interface, do not consider the administrators
- Do the state first, then the actions: enumerate, get/set

Key things to remember:

- Be as clean and minimalistic as you can
- Think about **what**, not **how**



# Modules, Components, and Layers in RON

Executive summary:

- Design of distributed IP routing means that fault recovery is slow
- Smaller number of nodes can proactively monitor paths and recover
- Application-level overlay network where nodes route packets co-operatively

# Modules, Components, and Layers in RON

Lampson says: “A really successful interface is like an hourglass: the spec is the narrow neck, with many clients above and many codes below; it can live for decades.”

Does RON’s design contradict what he says here?

- RON graciously preserves the beautiful interface of IP, as all its traffic still passes through those layers which are blissfully unaware



# Modules, Components, and Layers in RON

What techniques does RON itself use for modularity?

- Separating forwarding from routing
- The routers themselves are constructed with many different modules

How is RON an “Open system”?

- Routing policies can be arbitrarily powerful, using BPF-like matching
- Reflect - do such procedure arguments complicate the spec?

# The Cost of Layers

Lampson says: “Layers are good for decoupling, but they are not free. Unless you’re very careful, there’s a significant cost for each level of abstraction. Usually this cost is worth paying.... There are two ways to reduce it: make it cheaper to go from one layer to another, or bypass some layers (making the system a lot more complicated and hard to maintain).”

Where have we seen this before?

- Exokernel arguments about performance and raw device access
- P&G paper: virtualization through emulation is costly
- Xen: the hardware-MMU layer

# Reducing the Cost

What solutions are proposed to those problems?

- Exokernel: reduce cost of abstractions by implementing them in user mode, redesign kernel primitives
- P&G virtualization criteria: direct execution
- Xen memory de-virtualization: only involve myself on the path of updates



# Classes and Objects Recap

Summarize the interaction between programs and specs:

- Spec and code are attached to **data** (an object)
- The classpec is providing abstraction, the instance provides the refinement
- Overloading breaks the classpec

# Classes and Objects

In which paper(s) have we seen the idea of a classpec?

- “On the Duality of OS Structures”
- Compares a message-oriented and procedure-oriented system

What is the common module that both system models needed?

- Resource manager

# Classes and Objects

Abstract state required for the resource manager classpec:

- Input and output ports
- Resource name
- *Should there be more here?*

Actions:

- Enter & request resource
- Exit & release

# Implementation and Refinement

What do we use for input/output in message-oriented systems?

- Explicit messages (i.e., RPCs that have a payload)

How about for granting and revoking resources?

- Implicit: queues serve as the refinement of requests for the resource
- When a reply is generated, the resource is by definition free



# Implementation and Refinement - II

What do we use for input/output in procedure-oriented systems?

- Function calls, most probably exposed through a loader

How about for granting and revoking resources?

- Shared-memory synchronization
- Requesting a resource explicitly “waits”, freeing it does “wake up”