## CS-438 Decentralized Systems Engineering

Week 13

Linitation 6 OF Bitcoin -High energy cost from PoW -Low throughput (TPS): Only 24.7 -Smart contracts: non-taring complete, inefficient - power distributed by investment (not by peope) - power distributed by investment (not by peope) - privacy / confidentiality: account/transation anonymity - 511. attack problem (hostile takeovers)

Increase throughput / t - Bitcoin - NG: ("next generation") Nomin Bitcoin: K Faux Powle Powle Paul Bitcoin-NG: EPOWE Poll plus: higher TPS minus: "tempony didition (Do S) (sîg) ("leader election")

Increasing TPS - Byzcoin: builds on Bitcoin-No Key A Power 0 0 0 0 0 Public Byzantine (PBFT) N 100-1000 Key Consensas Consensas committee C votating to C' - challenge: with Lonsensus, how de clients even with Lonsensus, how de clients know & verify which microblocks were committed? A: collective signing (threshold of committee) - challenge - liveness VS safety Q: how to ratate committee? Choice 1: via PBFT commit TX C-> C' (safet) (liveness) Choice 2: Via Poll alone

Increasing TPS - problem: no "scale-out" - everyone processes aufin ve want more miners & more agg. capacity - sharding architectures: Ouroborus, Omniledger SUTXOS 2-phase TX 1. lock Shard at B b 2. commit C Joint C malicious takeover? Challenge: shard takeover. Challenge: shard takeover. Challenge: shard takeover. Teach shard Safe + Live Challenge: cross-shard TXs

Reducing energy - Proof-of-Stake - Algorand, - Main idea: have "state holders" - state funds time - BII - ... Consensas: voting power prop. to stake Consensus group B group Start Commit Commit TX(s) (TX(s) - how to form group? - random sample of existing stakeholders - Algorand: everybody uses VRF to "print" a "ticket" - "winning" if below threshold - reveal winning ticket w/ consensus vote