#### LECTURE 12

### YASH LODHA

### 1. STRUCTURES ON MANIFOLDS WITH BOUNDARIES

Recall that a manifold with boundary is an n-dimensional Hausdorff, second countable space in which every point has a neighbourhood homeomorphic to an open set of the upper half space

$$\mathbf{H}^n = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \mid x_n \ge 0\}$$

An open set U of a manifold with boundary, M, together with a homeomorphism  $\phi$  from U to an open subset of  $\mathbf{H}^n$  is called a *generalised chart*.

Let

$$\partial \mathbf{H}^n = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \mid x_n = 0\}$$
  $Int \mathbf{H}^n = (x_1, ..., x_n) \mid x_n > 0\}$ 

A point in M that is the inverse image of a point in  $\partial \mathbf{H}^n$  of a generalised chart is called a boundary point. The set of such points is called  $\partial M$ . A point in M that is the inverse image of a point in  $Int\mathbf{H}^n$  of a generalised chart is called an *interior point*. The set of such points is called IntM.

**Exercise 1.1.** Let M be an n-dimensional topological manifold with boundary. Then  $\partial M \cap IntM = \emptyset$ .

We use the convention that a map  $F: A \to \mathbf{R}^n$  on an arbitrary subset  $A \subset M$  is smooth if it has a smooth extension to an open set of M containing A.

**Definition 1.2.** (Smooth manifold with boundary) Let M be an n-dimensional topological manifold with boundary. We define an *atlas* for M as a collection of generalised charts whose domains cover M. Two such generalised charts  $(U, \phi), (V, \chi)$  are *smoothly compatible* if

$$\chi \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(U \cap V) \to \chi(U \cap V)$$

is a diffeomorphism. A *smooth atlas* on M is a collection of generalised charts on M that are pairwise smoothly compatible. A *smooth structure* on M is a maximal smooth atlas. An n-dimensional smooth manifold with boundary is an n-dimensional topological manifold with boundary endowed with a smooth structure.

Note that given a map  $F: M \to N$  between manifolds with boundary, we define smoothness just as usual, i.e. we demand that the coordinate representation of the map is smooth with respect to any smooth chart.

**Proposition 1.3.** Let M be a smooth n-dimensional manifold with boundary. Endowed with the subspace topology, IntM,  $\partial M$  can be further endowed with smooth structures with the property that the inclusion maps are smooth.

*Proof.* We first show this for IntM. For each generalised smooth chart  $(U, \phi)$  for M, we define a smooth chart  $(U \cap IntM, \phi \upharpoonright_{IntM})$ . The set of such charts clearly covers the space IntM are they are pairwise smoothly compatible.

Now we show this for  $\partial M$ . Let  $(U, \phi)$  be a smooth chart for M. Then we define the chart  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi})$  for  $\tilde{U} = U \cap \partial M$  where

$$\tilde{\phi}: U \cap \partial M \to \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$$
  $\tilde{\phi} = \pi \circ \phi$  where  $\pi: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  is the projection map

Let  $(U, \phi), (V, \chi)$  be two different charts on M, and let  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi}), (\tilde{V}, \tilde{\chi})$  be the corresponding charts on  $\partial M$ . Then we have

$$\tilde{\phi} \circ \tilde{\chi}^{-1} = \pi \circ \phi \circ \chi^{-1} \circ j$$
 where  $j: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbf{R}^n$  is the inclusion map

(Check that  $(\pi \circ \chi)^{-1} = \chi^{-1} \circ j$ .) This is clearly smooth.

For the rest of the course, we shall fix the smooth structure that emerge from the previous proposition as the natural smooth structures on  $\partial M, IntM$ . So when we refer to either of  $\partial M, IntM$ , we shall implicitly refer to these smooth structures.

1

2 YASH LODHA

## 1.1. The tangent space of a manifold with boundary.

**Definition 1.4.** Let M be a manifold with boundary and  $p \in M$ . The tangent space at p, denoted by  $T_p(M)$ , is the vector space of derivations of  $C^{\infty}(M)$  at p. That is, linear maps  $w: C^{\infty}(M) \to \mathbf{R}$  that satisfy the product rule w(fg) = f(p)w(g) + g(p)w(f).

We define the pushforward  $F_*: T_pM \to T_{F(p)}N$  of a smooth map  $F: M \to N$  between manifolds with boundary in the same way as before.

**Proposition 1.5.** Let  $i: \mathbf{H}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$  be the inclusion map. Then

$$i_*: T_p\mathbf{H}^n \to T_p\mathbf{R}^n$$

is an isomorphism with inverse given as follows. For  $X \in T_p \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{H}^n)$ , we consider any smooth extension  $\tilde{f}: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$  of f and set

$$Y(f) = X(\tilde{f}) \qquad (i_*)^{-1}(Y) = X$$

It follows that applying  $(i_*)^{-1}$  to the coordinate bases  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}|_p, \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}|_p$ , we obtain a basis for  $T_p(\mathbf{H}^n)$ . We abuse notation and also refer to this basis as  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}|_p, \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}|_p$ . Now let M be a smooth n-manifold with boundary. Let  $p \in M$  and let  $(U, \phi)$  be a smooth generalised chart

such that  $p \in U$ . The pushforward

$$\phi_*: T_p(M) \to T_{\phi(p)}(\mathbf{H}^n)$$

induces an isomorphism. Hence applying  $\phi_*^{-1}$  to the coordinate vectors  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}|_{\phi(p)},...,\frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}|_{\phi(p)}$ , we obtain the basis for  $T_p(M)$  which we denote as  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^1}|_p, ..., \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^n}|_p$ .

To compute the derivation  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^i}|_p$  to  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , we do the following. Let  $\widetilde{f \circ \phi^{-1}}$  be a smooth extension of  $f \circ \phi^{-1}$  from  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbf{H}^n$  to  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^i}\mid_p f = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\mid_{\phi(p)} \widetilde{f \circ \phi^{-1}}$$

**Definition 1.6.** Let M be a smooth n-manifold with boundary. The definitions of  $\Lambda^k T_n^*(M)$  and  $\Omega^k T_n^*(M)$ are analogous to the definitions as in the case of manifold without boundary.

# 1.2. Orientations on smooth manifolds with boundary.

**Definition 1.7.** Let M be a smooth n-manifold with boundary. Two generalised charts  $(U, \phi), (V, \chi)$  are said to be consistently oriented if the transition function  $\phi \circ \chi^{-1}$  is orientation preserving. An orientation on a smooth manifold with boundary is an atlas consisting of charts which are pairwise oriented. M is called *orientable* if it admits an orientation.

Equivalently, M is orientable if and only if it admits a continuous pointwise orientation, and if and only if it admits a nowhere vanishing n-form.

Note that if IntM is oriented, then we can define a consistently oriented atlas on M by taking all the generalised charts  $\phi$  such that  $\phi \upharpoonright IntM$  is positively oriented. Therefore, an orientation on IntM induces an orientation on M.

1.3. Integration on a manifold with boundary. Let  $fdx^1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^n$  be an *n*-form on  $\mathbf{H}^n$ . Then we define

$$\int_{\mathbf{H}^n} f dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n = \int_{\mathbf{H}^n} f dx^1 \dots dx^n$$

Let M be an n-manifold with boundary. Given an n-form  $\omega \in \Omega^n(M)$  that is supported in a chart  $(U, \phi)$ , we define

$$\int_{M} \omega = \int_{\phi(U)} (\phi^{-1})^* \omega$$

The integral of a compactly supported n-form is define using partitions of unity, just like the case of a manifold without boundary.

1.4. The induced orientation on the boundary. Given an oriented atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  on an *n*-manifold (possibly with a boundary), we define the oriented atlas  $-\mathcal{A}$  as follows. For each chart  $(U, \phi)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , we define a chart  $(U, \phi') \in -\mathcal{A}$  where  $\phi' \circ \phi^{-1}$  is orientation reversing. Note that  $-\mathcal{A}$  is also an orientation (prove this!).

Let  $(M, \mathcal{A})$  be a smooth oriented *n*-manifold with boundary. Let  $\tilde{A}$  be the induced smooth atlas on  $\partial M$ . Recall that this is given as follows: Let  $(U, \phi)$  be a smooth chart for M. Then we define the chart  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\phi}) \in \tilde{A}$  for  $\tilde{U} = U \cap \partial M$  where

$$\tilde{\phi}: U \cap \partial M \to \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$$
  $\tilde{\phi} = \pi \circ \phi$  where  $\pi: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  is the projection map

**Definition 1.8.** If n is even, then we endow  $\partial M$  with the orientation  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ . If n is odd, then we endow  $\partial M$  with the orientation  $-\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .

The above definition/convention will be justified in the proof of the Stokes theorem!

Remark 1.9. The above definition has the following feature. If  $(X_1,...,X_n)$  is a positively oriented bases for  $T_p(\partial M), p \in \partial M$ , then

$$(N, X_1, ..., X_n)$$

is a positively oriented basis for  $T_p(M)$ , where N is the "outward pointing" vector at  $p \in M$ . Outward pointing means that in any generalised chart  $(U, \phi)$  containing p, the vector

$$N = \sum_{1 \le i \le n} c^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^i} \mid_p$$

satisfies that  $c^n < 0$ . Think of it as "outward pointing" from  $\mathbf{H}^n$  to its complement in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

Also, note that in the special case of a 1-dimensional manifold with boundary (for example, [0,1]), we assign numerical quantities +1 or -1 to each of the boundary points as the "induced orientation". In the standard "left to right" orientation on [0,1], the point 1 is assigned +1 and the point 0 is assigned -1.

### 2. The Stokes Theorem

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(M, \mathcal{A})$  be an oriented n-manifold with boundary. (Here  $\mathcal{A}$  is the orientation on M. As usual, we let  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  be the induced orientation on  $\partial M$ .) Let  $\omega \in \Omega_c^{n-1}(M)$ , and given the inclusion map  $i: \partial M \to M$ , we denote  $i^*\omega \in \Omega_c^{n-1}(\partial M)$  as  $\omega$ . Then

$$\int_{M} d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega$$

Remark 2.2. (Fundamental theorem of calculus) The stokes theorem generalised the fundamental theorem of calculus in the following manner. Given

$$\omega = f \in \Omega^0([a,b]) = C^{\infty}([a,b])$$

we have that

$$\int_{[a,b]} d\omega = \int_{[a,b]} f'(x) dx = \int_{\partial [a,b]} f = f(b) - f(a)$$

Note that here we have the standard "left to right" orientation on [0,1], and in the induced orientation on the boundary, the point 1 is assigned +1 and the point 0 is assigned -1.

Remark 2.3. (Fundamental theorem of line integrals) Let M be a smooth manifold and let  $\gamma:[a,b]\to M$  be a smooth embedding, so that  $S=\gamma([a,b])$  is an embedded 1-submanifold with boundary in M. If we give S the orientation such that  $\gamma$  is orientation preserving, then for any smooth function  $f\in C^\infty(M)$ , the Stokes theorem says that

$$\int_{\gamma} df = \int_{[a,b]} \gamma^* df = \int_{S} df = \int_{\partial S} f = f(\gamma(b)) - f(\gamma(a))$$

Here are a few important corollaries of the theorem.

Corollary 2.4. (Integrals of closed forms over boundaries) Suppose that M is a compact oriented smooth n-manifold with boundary. If  $\omega \in \Omega^n(M)$  is a closed form, then

$$\int_{\partial M} \omega = \int_{M} d\omega = 0$$

Corollary 2.5. (Integrals of exact forms) If M is a compact oriented smooth manifold without boundary, then the integral of every exact form over M is zero:

$$\int_{M} d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega = 0$$

4 YASH LODHA

**Corollary 2.6.** (Submanifolds) Let M be a smooth n-manifold (with or without boundary). Let  $S \subseteq M$  be an oriented compact smooth k-submanifold (without boundary). Let  $\omega \in \Omega_c^k(M)$  be closed. If  $\int_S \omega \neq 0$ , then the following holds:

- (1)  $\omega$  is not exact on M.
- (2) S is not the boundary of an oriented compact smooth submanifold with boundary in M.

**Definition 2.7.** In a manifold M, a regular compact domain is a set  $D \subset M$ , which is compact and has the property that for each  $p \in \partial D$ , there is a chart  $(U, \phi)$  of M containing p such that

$$\phi(U \cap \partial D) \subset \partial \mathbf{H}^n \qquad \phi(U \cap D) \subset \mathbf{H}^n$$

Example 2.8. The closed 1-form

$$\omega = \frac{(xdy - ydx)}{x^2 + y^2}$$

has a nonzero integral over  $S^1$ . Moreover, it is not exact on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ . The preceding corollary also tells us that  $S^1$  is not the boundary of a compact regular domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** (Green's theorem) Suppose that D is a compact regular domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and P,Q are smooth real valued functions on D. Then

$$\int_{D} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}\right) dx dy = \int_{\partial D} P dx - Q dy$$

*Proof.* We apply the Stokes theorem to the form

$$Pdx + Qdy \in \Omega^1(D)$$