

# ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

**Handout 31**  
Homework 12

Principles of Digital Communications  
Dec. 21, 2020

---

PROBLEM 1. Suppose  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}$  are additive groups with group operation  $\oplus$ . (E.g.,  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V} = \{0, \dots, K-1\}$ , with modulo  $K$  addition.) Suppose the distortion measure  $d(u, v)$  depends only on the difference between  $u$  and  $v$  and is given by  $g(u \ominus v)$ . Let  $\phi(D)$  denote  $\max H(Z) : E[g(Z)] \leq D$ .

a) Show that  $\phi(D)$  is concave.

b) Let  $(U, V)$  be such that  $E[d(U, V)] \leq D$ . Show that  $I(U; V) \geq H(U) - \phi(D)$  by justifying

$$I(U; V) = H(U) - H(U|V) = H(U) - H(U \ominus V|V) \geq H(U) - H(U \ominus V) \geq H(U) - \phi(D).$$

c) Show that  $R(D) \geq H(U) - \phi(D)$ .

d) Assume now that  $U$  is uniform on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Show that  $R(D) = H(U) - \phi(D)$ .

PROBLEM 2. Suppose  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}$ , the set of real numbers, and  $d(u, v) = (u - v)^2$ . Show that for any  $U$  with variance  $\sigma^2$ ,  $R(D)$  satisfies

$$h(U) - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi e D) \leq R(D) \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma^2 / D) \right]^+.$$

PROBLEM 3. Consider a two-way communication system where two parties communicate via a *common* output they both can observe and influence. Denote the common output by  $Y$ , and the signals emitted by the two parties by  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  respectively. Let  $p(y|x_1, x_2)$  model the memoryless channel through which the two parties influence the output.

We will consider feedback-free block codes, i.e., we will use encoding and decoding functions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{enc}_1 : \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_1}\} &\rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1^n & \text{dec}_1 : \mathcal{Y}^n \times \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_1}\} &\rightarrow \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_2}\} \\ \text{enc}_2 : \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_2}\} &\rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2^n & \text{dec}_2 : \mathcal{Y}^n \times \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_2}\} &\rightarrow \{1, \dots, 2^{nR_1}\} \end{aligned}$$

with which the parties encode their own message and decode the other party's messages. (Note that when a party is decoding the other party's message, it can make use of the knowledge of its own message).

We will say that the rate pair  $(R_1, R_2)$  is achievable, if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist encoders and decoders with the above form for which the average error probability is less than  $\epsilon$ .

Consider the following 'random coding' method to construct the encoders:

- (i) Choose probability distributions  $p_j$  on  $\mathcal{X}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .
- (ii) Choose  $\{\text{enc}_1(m_1)_i : m_1 = 1, \dots, 2^{nR_1}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$  i.i.d., each having distribution as  $p_1$ . Similarly, choose  $\{\text{enc}_2(m_2)_i : m_2 = 1, \dots, 2^{nR_2}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$  i.i.d., each having distribution as  $p_2$ , independently of the choices for  $\text{enc}_1$ .

For the decoders we will use typicality decoders:

- (i) Set  $p(x_1, x_2, y) = p_1(x_1)p_2(x_2)p(y|x_1, x_2)$ . Choose a small  $\epsilon > 0$  and consider the set  $T$  of  $\epsilon$ -typical  $(x_1^n, x_2^n, y^n)$ 's with respect to  $p$ .

(ii) For decoder 1: given  $y^n$  and the correct  $m_1$ ,  $\text{dec}_1$  will declare  $\hat{m}_2$  if it is the unique  $m_2$  for which  $(\text{enc}_1(m_1), \text{enc}_2(m_2), y^n) \in T$ . If there is no such  $m_2$ ,  $\text{dec}_1$  outputs 0. (Similar description applies to Decoder 2.)

(a) Given that  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are the transmitted messages, show that  $(\text{enc}_1(m_1), \text{enc}_2(m_2), Y^n) \in T$  with high probability.

(b) Given that  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are the transmitted messages, and  $\tilde{m}_1 \neq m_1$  what is the probability distribution of  $(\text{enc}_1(\tilde{m}_1), \text{enc}_2(m_2), Y^n)$ ?

(c) Under the assumptions in (b) show that the

$$\Pr\{(\text{enc}_1(\tilde{m}_1), \text{enc}_2(m_2), Y^n) \in T\} \doteq 2^{-nI(X_1; X_2 Y)}.$$

(d) Show that all rate pairs satisfying

$$R_1 \leq I(X_1; Y X_2), \quad R_2 \leq I(X_2; Y X_1)$$

for some  $p(x_1, x_2) = p(x_1)p(x_2)$  are achievable.

(e) For the case when  $X_1, X_2, Y$  are all binary and  $Y$  is the product of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , show that the achievable region is strictly larger than what we can obtain by ‘half duplex communication’ (i.e., the set of rates that satisfy  $R_1 + R_2 \leq 1$ .)

PROBLEM 4. Let

$$Z_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & p \\ 0, & q \end{cases}, \quad Z_2 = \begin{cases} 1, & p \\ 0, & q \end{cases}$$

and let  $U = Z_1 Z_2, V = Z_1 + Z_2$ . Assume  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are independent. Note that we have a joint distribution induced on  $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$ . Suppose that  $(U_i, V_i)$  are i.i.d according to the distribution induced as above. Sender 1 compresses  $U^n$  at rate  $R_1$  and sender 2 compresses  $V^n$  at rate  $R_2$ .

(a) Find the Slepian-Wolf rate region for recovering  $(U^n, V^n)$  at receiver.

(b) What is the residual uncertainty that receiver has about  $(Z_1^n, Z_2^n)$ ? i.e.  $H(Z_1^n Z_2^n | U^n V^n)$ .

PROBLEM 5. Suppose we are told that for any  $n$  and  $M$ , for any binary code with block-length  $n$ , with  $M$  codewords, the minimum distance  $d_{\min}$  satisfies  $d_{\min} \leq d_0(M, n)$  where  $d_0$  is a specified upper bound on minimum distance.

(a) Show that any upper bound  $d_0$  can be improved to the following upper bound: for any  $n, M$ , for any binary code with blocklength  $n$  with  $M$  codewords

$$d_{\min} \leq d_1(M, n)$$

where  $d_1(M, n) = \min_{k: 0 \leq k \leq n} d_0(\lceil M/2^k \rceil, n - k)$ .

(b) Consider the trivial bound

$$d_0(M, n) = \begin{cases} n, & M \geq 2 \\ \infty, & M \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

What is the bound  $d_1$  constructed via (a) for this  $d_0$ ?

- (c) Suppose we are given a binary code with  $M$  words of blocklength  $n$ . Fix  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and let  $a_1, \dots, a_M$  be the  $i$ th bits of the  $M$  codewords. Suppose  $M_1$  of the  $a_m$ 's are '1' and  $M_0$  of them are '0'. Show that

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{\substack{m'=1 \\ m' \neq m}}^M d_H(a_m, a'_m) = 2M_0M_1 \leq M^2/2.$$

- (d) Show that for any binary code with  $M \geq 2$  codewords  $x_1, \dots, x_M$  of blocklength  $n$

$$M(M-1)d_{min} \leq \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{\substack{m'=1 \\ m' \neq m}}^M d_H(x_m, x_{m'}) \leq nM^2/2;$$

consequently,  $d_{min} \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \frac{M}{M-1} \rfloor$ .