

Artificial Neural Networks (Gerstner). Exercises for week 1

Reinforcement Learning: Basics

Exercise 1. Iterative update¹

We consider an empirical evaluation of $Q(s, a)$ by averaging the rewards for action a over the first k trials:

$$Q_k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k r_i.$$

We now include an additional trial and average over all $k + 1$ trials.

- Show that this procedure leads to an iterative update rule of the form

$$\Delta Q_k = \eta_k (r_k - Q_{k-1}),$$

(assuming $Q_0 = 0$).

- What is the value of η_k ?
- Give an intuitive explanation of the update rule.

Hint: Think of the following: If the actual reward is larger than my estimate, then I should ...

Exercise 2. Greedy policy and the two-armed bandit

In the “2-armed bandit” problem, one has to choose one of 2 actions. Assume action a_1 yields a reward of $r = 1$ with probability $p = 0.25$ and 0 otherwise. If you take action a_2 , you will receive a reward of $r = 0.4$ with probability $p = 0.75$ and 0 otherwise. The “2-armed bandit” game is played several times and Q values are updated using the update rule $\Delta Q(s, a) = \eta[r_t - Q(s, a)]$.

- Assume that you initialize all Q values at zero. You first try both actions: in trial 1 you choose a_1 and get $r = 1$; in trial 2 you choose a_2 and get $r = 0.4$. Update your Q values ($\eta = 0.2$).
- In trials 3 to 5, you play greedy and always choose the action which looks best (i.e., has the highest Q-value). Which action has the higher Q-value after trial 5? (Assume that the actual reward is $r = 0$ in trials 3-5.)
- Calculate the expected reward for both actions. Which one is the best?
- Initialize both Q-values at 2 (optimistic). Assume that, as in the first part, in the first two trials you get for both actions the reward. Update your Q values once with $\eta = 0.2$. Suppose now that in the following rounds, in order to explore well, you choose actions a_1 and a_2 alternately and update the Q-values with a very small learning rate ($\eta = 0.001$). How many rounds (one round = two trials = one trial with each action) does it take *on average*, until the maximal Q-value also reflects the best action?

Hint: For $\eta \ll 1$ we can approximate the actual returns r_t with their expectations $E[r]$.

Exercise 3. Batch versus online learning rules: Recap²

We define the mean squared error in a dataset with P data points as

$$E^{\text{MSE}}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{P} \sum_{\mu} (t^{\mu} - \hat{y}^{\mu})^2 \quad (1)$$

¹The result of Exercise 1 will be used in the second lecture of week 1.

²The result of Exercise 3 will be used in the second lecture of week 1.

where the output is

$$\hat{y}^\mu = g(a^\mu) = g(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^\mu) = g\left(\sum_k w_k x_k^\mu\right) \quad (2)$$

and the input is the \mathbf{x}^μ with components $x_1^\mu \dots x_d^\mu$.

- a. Calculate the update of weight w_j by gradient descent (batch rule)

$$\Delta w_j = -\eta \frac{dE}{dw_j} \quad (3)$$

Hint: Apply chain rule

- b. Rewrite the formula by taking one data point at a time (stochastic gradient descent). What is the difference to the batch rule?
- c. Rewrite your result in b in vector notation (hint: use the weight vector \mathbf{w} and the input vector \mathbf{x}^μ). Show that the update after application of data point μ can be written as

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} = \eta \delta(\mu) \mathbf{x}^\mu$$

where $\delta(\mu)$ is a scalar number that depends on μ . Express $\delta(\mu)$ in terms of t^μ, \hat{y}^μ, g' .

Exercise 4. Geometric interpretation of an artificial neuron: Recap

Consider the single-neuron function in 2-D with

$$y = g(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}) \quad (4)$$

where g is a strictly increasing activation function, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^{2+1}$ is the extended 2-dimensional input (i.e., the threshold/bias value has been integrated as an extra input $x_3 = -1$), and $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, w_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the weight vector. The hyperplane $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w} = 0$ describes the boundary between where the neuron is on, i.e., $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w} > 0$, and where it is off, i.e., $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w} < 0$. Consider this hyperplane in the 2-D space of (x_1, x_2) and answer the following questions:

- a. The hyperplane is a line in 2-D. What is the slope of this line as a function of w_1, w_2 , and w_3 ? Where does the line intersect with the y -axis and where with the x -axis?
- b. Is it possible to have two weight vectors \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{w}' such that $\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{w}'$ but $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w} = 0$ and $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}' = 0$ describe the same hyperplane? If yes, what conditions \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{w}' must meet?
- c. For the general case of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, what is the distance of the hyperplane $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w} = 0$ from the origin in \mathbb{R}^N ? Where does the hyperplane intersect with the x_n -axis for $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$?
- d. Use the online learning rule you derived in Exercise 3c and describe, in words, how the separating hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^N changes after each update. Make sure you consider the effects of both changing bias/threshold on one side and changing weight parameter \mathbf{w} on the other side.