Homework 3 Solution Traitement Quantique de l'Information

Exercise 1 Polarization observable and measurement principle

1) We first check that $\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha_{\perp} | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \alpha | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle = \langle \alpha_{\perp} | \alpha \rangle = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{\alpha}^{2} &= \left|\alpha\right\rangle \left\langle \alpha \middle|\alpha\right\rangle \left\langle \alpha \middle| = \left|\alpha\right\rangle \left\langle \alpha \middle| = \Pi_{\alpha} \\ \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}}^{2} &= \left|\alpha_{\perp}\right\rangle \left\langle \alpha_{\perp} \middle|\alpha_{\perp}\right\rangle \left\langle \alpha_{\perp} \middle| = \left|\alpha_{\perp}\right\rangle \left\langle \alpha_{\perp} \middle| = \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}} \\ \Pi_{\alpha}\Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}} &= \left|\alpha\right\rangle \left\langle \alpha \middle|\alpha_{\perp}\right\rangle \left\langle \alpha_{\perp} \middle| = 0 \\ \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}}\Pi_{\alpha} &= \left|\alpha_{\perp}\right\rangle \left\langle \alpha_{\perp} \middle|\alpha\right\rangle \left\langle \alpha \middle| = 0 \end{split}$$

2)

$$|\langle \theta | \alpha \rangle|^{2} = \langle \theta | \alpha \rangle \langle \theta | \alpha \rangle^{*} = \langle \theta | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha | \theta \rangle = \langle \theta | \Pi_{\alpha} | \theta \rangle,$$
$$|\langle \theta | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle|^{2} = \langle \theta | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle \langle \theta | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle^{*} = \langle \theta | \alpha_{\perp} \rangle \langle \alpha_{\perp} | \theta \rangle = \langle \theta | \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}} | \theta \rangle$$

3) The probabilities are

$$Prob(p = +1) = |\langle \alpha | \theta \rangle|^2 = |\cos \alpha \cos \theta + \sin \alpha \sin \theta|^2 = (\cos(\theta - \alpha))^2$$
$$Prob(p = -1) = |\langle \alpha_{\perp} | \theta \rangle|^2 = |-\sin \alpha \cos \theta + \cos \alpha \sin \theta|^2 = (\sin(\theta - \alpha))^2$$

and they sum to 1,

$$Prob(p = +1) + Prob(p = -1) = (cos(\theta - \alpha))^2 + (sin(\theta - \alpha))^2 = 1$$

4) The expectation is

$$E[p] = (+1)\operatorname{Prob}(p = +1) + (-1)\operatorname{Prob}(p = -1)$$
$$= (\cos(\theta - \alpha))^{2} - (\sin(\theta - \alpha))^{2}$$
$$= \cos(2(\theta - \alpha))$$

and the variance is

$$Var(p) = E[p^2] - (E[p])^2$$

$$= 1 - (E[p])^2$$

$$= (\cos(\theta - \alpha))^2 - (\sin(\theta - \alpha))^2$$

$$= 1 - (\cos(2(\theta - \alpha)))^2$$

$$= (\sin(2(\theta - \alpha)))^2$$

In fact they should match with the computation in Dirac notation because

$$\begin{split} \langle \theta | \, P_{\alpha} \, | \theta \rangle &= \langle \theta | \, \left((+1) \Pi_{\alpha} + (-1) \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}} \right) | \theta \rangle \\ &= (+1) \, \langle \theta | \, \Pi_{\alpha} \, | \theta \rangle + (-1) \, \langle \theta | \, \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}} \, | \theta \rangle \\ &= (+1) \mathrm{Prob}(p = +1) + (-1) \mathrm{Prob}(p = -1) \\ &= \mathrm{E}[p] \end{split}$$

and

$$\langle \theta | P_{\alpha}^{2} | \theta \rangle = \langle \theta | ((+1)\Pi_{\alpha} + (-1)\Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}})^{2} | \theta \rangle$$

$$= \langle \theta | (\Pi_{\alpha}^{2} - \Pi_{\alpha}\Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}} - \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}}\Pi_{\alpha} + \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}}^{2}) | \theta \rangle$$

$$= \langle \theta | (\Pi_{\alpha} + \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}}) | \theta \rangle$$

$$= (+1)^{2} \langle \theta | \Pi_{\alpha} | \theta \rangle + (-1)^{2} \langle \theta | \Pi_{\alpha_{\perp}} | \theta \rangle$$

$$= (+1)^{2} \operatorname{Prob}(p = +1) + (-1)^{2} \operatorname{Prob}(p = -1)$$

$$= \operatorname{E}[p^{2}]$$

thereby giving $E[p] = \langle \theta | P_{\alpha} | \theta \rangle$ and $Var(p) = E[p^2] - (E[p])^2 = \langle \theta | P_{\alpha}^2 | \theta \rangle - \langle \theta | P_{\alpha} | \theta \rangle^2$.

Exercise 2 Product versus entangled states

We use the conventional correspondence $|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then we have

$$(\alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle) \otimes (x |0\rangle + y |1\rangle) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha x & \alpha y \\ \beta x & \beta y \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus a product state of two qubits is a rank-one matrix. So for a general state $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} |ij\rangle$, a simple condition is to check if the matrix $A = (\alpha_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le 1}$ is of rank one, that is to say (and because $A \ne 0$), if its determinant is 0: $\det(A) = 0$, that is $\alpha_{00}\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{01}\alpha_{10}$

- 1. product state $(\det(A) = 0)$, normalized
- 2. entangled $(\det(A) = -2)$, normalized
- 3. entangled $\det(A) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3\cdot 6}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{36}} \neq 0$, not normalized
- 4. all entangled $(\det(A) \neq 0$ for all of them), normalized
- 5. we find $det(A) = \epsilon$, so only a product state for $\epsilon = 0$, entangled otherwise, normalized in all cases
- 6. Let's assume $|\psi\rangle = (x|0\rangle + y|1\rangle) \otimes (u|0\rangle + v|1\rangle) \otimes (s|0\rangle + t|1\rangle)$, then we should have xut = xvs = yuv = 1 and all the other products are 0 otherwise. For instance: yut = 0. However, because xut = 1, then $ut \neq 0$, and because yuv = 1 then $y \neq 0$, therefore $yut \neq 0$. So our assumption is wrong and the state is entangled, and also normalized
- 7. entangled for similar reasons, normalized

8. product state as $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}^3} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)^{\otimes 3}$, and normalized

Exercise 3 Unitary transformations

- 1) The operator is $U=e^{i\omega t}|\psi_0\rangle\langle\psi_0|$, thus $U^\dagger=e^{-i\omega t}|\psi_0\rangle\langle\psi_0|$ and it is straightforward to check that $U^\dagger U=UU^\dagger=I=|\psi_0\rangle\langle\psi_0|$
- 2) We find $H|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ and $H|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle |1\rangle)$, so in fact $H|i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + (-1)^i|1\rangle)$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Therefore, we have:

$$\langle j | H^{\dagger} H | i \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left((\langle 0 | + (-1)^{j} \langle 1 |) (|0\rangle + (-1)^{i} | 1 \rangle) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + (-1)^{i+j} \right) = \delta_{ij}$$

with δ_{ij} the kroenecker symbol.

- 3) Similarly, we find $X|i\rangle = |i \oplus 1\rangle$ thus $\langle j|X^{\dagger}X|i\rangle = \langle j \oplus 1|i \oplus 1\rangle = \delta_{ij}$
- 4) Step by step:

$$(U_1 \otimes U_2)^{\dagger} (U_1 \otimes U_2) = (U_1^{\dagger} \otimes U_2^{\dagger}) (U_1 \otimes U_2) \tag{1}$$

$$= (U_1^{\dagger} U_1) \otimes (U_2^{\dagger} U_2) \tag{2}$$

$$= I \otimes I \tag{3}$$

$$=I \tag{4}$$

5) It is straightforward to check that: $CNOT(|i,j\rangle) = |i,j \oplus i\rangle$. Thus:

$$\langle k, l | \text{CNOT}^{\dagger} \text{CNOT} | i, j \rangle = \langle k, l \oplus k | i, i \oplus j \rangle = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{(l \oplus k),(i \oplus j)} = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{l,j}$$

First let $|\psi_1\rangle = (H|i\rangle) \otimes |j\rangle$, we have using question 2:

$$|\psi_1\rangle = (H|i\rangle) \otimes |j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + (-1)^i|1\rangle) \otimes |j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0,j\rangle + (-1)^i|1,j\rangle)$$

Therefore using question 5:

CNOT
$$|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0,j\rangle + (-1)^i |1,j \oplus 1\rangle) = |\beta_{ij}\rangle$$

Because H and I are both unitary using question 2, then $U \otimes I$ is unitary using question 4. Then because CNOT is unitary (question 5), using the fact that the set of unitary matrices equipped with the product of matrices is a group, then $O = \text{CNOT} \cdot (H \otimes I)$ is also unitary, hence β_{ij} forms an orthonormal basis as it is the image of an orthonormal basis with the unitary operator O.

Exercise 4 Interferometer with an atom on the ray

1) The matrices in Dirac notation are

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |H\rangle \langle H| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |H\rangle \langle V| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |V\rangle \langle H| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |V\rangle \langle V| + |abs\rangle \langle abs|$$

$$R = |H\rangle \langle V| + |V\rangle \langle H| + |abs\rangle \langle abs|.$$

To find U = SARS we proceed by steps:

$$RS = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |H\rangle \langle H| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |H\rangle \langle V| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |V\rangle \langle H| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |V\rangle \langle V| + |abs\rangle \langle abs|,$$

$$ARS = |H\rangle \langle abs| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |V\rangle \langle H| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |V\rangle \langle V| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |abs\rangle \langle H| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |abs\rangle \langle V|$$

and finally

$$\begin{split} U &= SARS = \frac{1}{2} \left| H \right\rangle \left\langle H \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left| H \right\rangle \left\langle V \right| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| H \right\rangle \left\langle \mathrm{abs} \right| \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left| V \right\rangle \left\langle H \right| - \frac{1}{2} \left| V \right\rangle \left\langle V \right| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| V \right\rangle \left\langle \mathrm{abs} \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \mathrm{abs} \right\rangle \left\langle H \right| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \mathrm{abs} \right\rangle \left\langle V \right|. \end{split}$$

2) As $SARS |H\rangle = \frac{1}{2} |H\rangle - \frac{1}{2} |V\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |abs\rangle$, the probabilities of the three events are

$$\operatorname{Prob}(D_1) = |\langle V | SARS | H \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{4},$$

$$\operatorname{Prob}(D_2) = |\langle H | SARS | H \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{4},$$

$$\operatorname{Prob}(abs) = |\langle abs | SARS | H \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2},$$

which sum to 1.

3) A legitimate matrix has to be unitary. The first matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not unitary because

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq I.$$

The second matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

is unitary because

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I.$$

Thus the second matrix may model the absorption and reemission of the photon. Note also that this matrix acts like a Hadamard matrix on the subspace $\{|H\rangle, |abs\rangle\}$.