# Quantum Information Processing 

## Homework 10

## Exercise 1 Product states and CSHS inequality

We take the usual setting of the CSHS (Bell) inequality as seen in class, except that we replace the EPR pairs by pairs in product states of the form $|\Psi\rangle=\left|\varphi_{A}\right\rangle \otimes\left|\varphi_{B}\right\rangle$. Alice makes measurements in the (linear polarization) basis $|\alpha\rangle,\left|\alpha_{\perp}\right\rangle$ and records $a= \pm 1$. Similarly Bob makes measurements in the basis $|\beta\rangle,\left|\beta_{\perp}\right\rangle$ and records $b= \pm 1$.
a) Compute the conditional probabilities $p(a, b \mid \alpha, \beta)$ and show that the locality assumption is here satisfied (because we have a product state) i.e., we have $p(a, b \mid \alpha, \beta)=$ $p_{A}(a \mid \alpha) p_{B}(b \mid \beta)$. So we ask that you compute all three terms in this equation for values $(a, b)=(1,1),(1,-1),(-1,1),(-1,-1)$ and check the identity.

Remarks: we recall the notation here. Alice makes measurements in the (linear polarization) basis $|\alpha\rangle,\left|\alpha_{\perp}\right\rangle$ and records $a= \pm 1$. Similarly Bob makes measurements in the basis $|\beta\rangle,\left|\beta_{\perp}\right\rangle$ and records $b= \pm 1$. Moreover here there are no "hidden variables" and the locality assumption is therefore simpler.
b) Consider the usual correlation coefficient

$$
X=\langle\Psi| A \otimes B+A \otimes B^{\prime}-A^{\prime} \otimes B+A^{\prime} \otimes B^{\prime}|\Psi\rangle
$$

where $A, B, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ are the observables of polarization in the linear polarization basis defined by angles $\alpha, \beta, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}$. So for example $A=(+1)|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|+(-1)\left|\alpha_{\perp}\right\rangle\left\langle\alpha_{\perp}\right|$. Prove that $-2 \leq X \leq 2$.

Exercise 2 The difference between a Bell state and a statistical mixture of $|00\rangle$ and $|11\rangle$
We consider a source that distributes to A and B either an EPR pair in the perfect Bell state $\left|B_{00}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle)$, or distributes a pair of qubits in a statistical mixture of states $|00\rangle,|11\rangle$ with uniform probabilities $1 / 2$. This exercise illustrates in many ways that the two kind of situations are completely different.
a) Write down the density matrix $\rho_{\text {Bell }}$ associated to the Bell state in Dirac notation as well as in matrix array form (in the computational basis).
b) Write down the density matrix $\rho_{\text {stat }}$ associated to the statistical mixture above in Dirac notation as well as in matrix array form (in the computational basis).
c) In a Bell/CSHS experiment one measures the observable

$$
\mathcal{B}=A \otimes B+A \otimes B^{\prime}-A^{\prime} \otimes B+A^{\prime} \otimes B^{\prime}
$$

What is the theoretical average if the state when the state is $\rho_{\text {Bell }}$ ? (Use results proven in class and no need to reproduce calculations). And now compute the theoretical average if the state is $\rho_{\text {stat }}$. What are the values of the of these two averages for the optimal CSHS-angles $\alpha=0, \alpha^{\prime}=-\frac{\pi}{4}, \beta=\frac{\pi}{8}, \beta^{\prime}=-\frac{\pi}{8}$ ?

In this exercise we guide you through the general principle of a QKD protocol invented by Arthur Ekert in 1991. Alice and Bob are situated at remote locations and want to generate a one-time pad.

They share a set of $N$ Bell pairs in the state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle)$ distributed by a source. Alice does measurements of her qubits by choosing at random among the three (linear polarization) basis with angles $\alpha=0, \alpha^{\prime}=-\frac{\pi}{4}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{\pi}{8}$. Similarly Bob does measurements of his qubits by choosing at random among the three (linear polarization) basis with angles $\beta=\frac{\pi}{8}, \beta^{\prime}=-\frac{\pi}{8}, \beta^{\prime \prime}=0$.

Note that the angles $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta, \beta^{\prime}$ are a set that yield the maximal violation of the Bell/CSHS inequality, namely $X=2 \sqrt{2}$ (usual definition of $X$ ). In the Ekert protocol we have two extra basis choices with angles $\alpha^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{\pi}{8}$ and $\beta^{\prime \prime}=0$.
a) When Alice and Bob choose the same angles what can you say about the classical bits they record in their measurements ? If $N$ Bell pairs are shared how many times on average will Alice and Bob choose the same angles ?
b) Based on the observations in the previous question, propose a scheme to generate a common string of bits between Alice and Bob, i.e., a one-time pad. What is the length of this one-time pad?
c) Alice and Bob need to devise a security test. However unlike in BB84 here they do not want to sacrifice any small fraction of the one-time pad. Propose one such test based on the Bell/CSHS inequality.
d) Imagine now the following attack from an eavesdropper: the Bell pairs are intercepted during their distribution and each qubit measured in the basis $\alpha=0, \alpha^{\prime}=-\frac{\pi}{4}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{\pi}{8}$ and $\beta=\frac{\pi}{8}, \beta^{\prime}=-\frac{\pi}{8}, \beta^{\prime \prime}=0$. The state of the pair is thus left in a product state of the type $|\gamma\rangle \otimes|\delta\rangle$ and the eavesdropper distributes $|\gamma\rangle$ to Alice and $|\delta\rangle$ to Bob.
What are the possible values that $\gamma$ and $\delta$ can take ? If your security test proposed in the previous question is "good" then Alice and Bob should be able to detect the presence of the eavesdropper: explain why!

