

Manifolds with boundary

Exercise 10.1. Let M be a smooth n -dimensional manifold with boundary, prove that $T_p M$ is an n -dimensional real vector space:

- (a) First prove that $T_a \mathbb{H}^n \xrightarrow{d\iota} T_a \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isomorphism (using the fact that if f is a smooth function on \mathbb{H}^n then there exists an extension \tilde{f} to a smooth function on all \mathbb{R}^n ; look back at Exercise sheet 2 and 3)
- (b) As we did in the case of smooth manifolds without boundary, prove that $T_p U \cong T_p M$ for each open U again using the Extension Lemma and then use smooth charts (Here, once again, remember what a smooth chart means in the case of a manifold with boundary)

Solution. See Proposition's 3.11 and 3.12 of Lee's "Introduction to Smooth Manifolds". \square

Line integrals

Exercise 10.2. Let $M = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0$. Consider the 1-form

$$\omega = \frac{x dy - y dx}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

Let $\gamma : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow M$ be the smooth curve defined by $t \mapsto (\cos t, \sin t)$.

- (a) Compute the integral of ω along γ .

Solution. We compute first the pullback $\gamma^* \omega$, i.e. the 1-form on $[0, 2\pi]$ that assigns to each point $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ the covector

$$(\gamma^* \omega)|_t = \omega|_{\gamma(t)} \circ D\gamma|_t.$$

We express this covector as $\gamma^* \omega|_t = g(t) d\tau$, where $\tau = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the standard coordinate on \mathbb{R} , and $g : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function that we obtain by applying the covector $\gamma^* \omega|_t$ to the standard vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}|_t \in T_t \mathbb{R}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= (\gamma^* \omega)|_t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Big|_t \right) \\ &= \omega|_{\gamma(t)} \left(D\gamma|_t \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Big|_t \right) \\ &= \omega|_{\gamma(t)} (\gamma'(t)) \\ &= (\cos t dy - y dx)|_{\gamma(t)} \left(-\sin t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \cos t \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \Big|_{\gamma(t)} \\ &= (\cos t)^2 + (\sin t)^2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\gamma^* \omega = d\tau$.

Now we can compute the integral

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = \int_{[0, 2\pi]} \gamma^* \omega = \int_{[0, 2\pi]} h(t) dt = \int_{[0, 2\pi]} 1 dt = 2\pi$$

\square

- (b) Prove that omega is not exact, i.e. is not of the form dh for $h \in C^\infty(M)$.

Solution. The integral of an exact 1-form along a closed path is zero by Stokes' theorem. Since γ is a closed path and the integral of ω along γ is not zero, we conclude that ω is not exact. \square

Exercise 10.3 (to hand in). Consider the following 1-form on $M = \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$\omega = \frac{-4z \, dx}{(x^2 + 1)^2} + \frac{2y \, dy}{y^2 + 1} + \frac{2x \, dz}{x^2 + 1}$$

- (a) Set up and compute the line integral of ω along the line going from $(0, 0, 0)$ to $(1, 1, 1)$
 (b) Consider the smooth map $\Psi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ given by $(r, \varphi, \theta) \in W := \mathbb{R}^+ \times (0, 2\pi) \times (0, \pi)$:

$$\Psi(r, \varphi, \theta) = (r \cos \varphi \sin \theta, r \sin \varphi \sin \theta, r \cos \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Compute $\Psi^*\omega$.

Exercise 10.4. On the plane \mathbb{R}^2 with the standard coordinates (x, y) consider the 1-form $\theta = x \, dy$. Compute the integral of θ along each side of the square $[1, 2] \times [3, 4]$, with each of the two orientations. (There are 8 numbers to compute.)

Solution. The four integrals along the horizontal sides are zero because $dy \equiv 0$ on any horizontal line.

Along a vertical line given by an equation $x = c$, with $c \in \mathbb{R}$ a constant, the vector field θ coincides with the 1-form $c \, dy \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, which is the differential of the function $h_c(x, y) = cy$. Therefore the integral of θ along a segment of such a vertical line is equal to the variation of the function h_c along this segment.

Along the segment $\{2\} \times [3, 4]$ we have $c = 2$, thus the integral of θ is 2 if we go upwards and -2 if we go downwards. Similarly, along the segment $\{1\} \times [3, 4]$ we have $c = 1$, thus the integral of θ is 1 if we go upwards and -1 if we go downwards. \square

Tensors

Exercise 10.5. Let $\mathcal{B} = (E_i)_i$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = (\tilde{E}_j)_j$ be two bases of a vector space $V \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $\mathcal{B}^* = (\varepsilon^i)_i$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^* = (\tilde{\varepsilon}^j)_j$ be the respective dual bases. Note that a tensor $T \in \text{Ten}^k V$ can be written as

$$T = \sum_{i_0, \dots, i_{k-1}} T_{i_0, \dots, i_{k-1}} \varepsilon^{i_0} \otimes \dots \otimes \varepsilon^{i_{k-1}} \quad \text{or as} \quad T = \sum_{j_0, \dots, j_{k-1}} \tilde{T}_{j_0, \dots, j_{k-1}} \tilde{\varepsilon}^{j_0} \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{\varepsilon}^{j_{k-1}}.$$

Find the transformation law that expresses the coefficients $\tilde{T}_{j_0, \dots, j_{k-1}}$ in terms of the coefficients $T_{i_0, \dots, i_{k-1}}$.

Solution. There exists an invertible $n \times n$ matrix $(a_j^i)_{i, j \in \underline{n}}$ such that $\tilde{E}_j = \sum_i a_j^i E_i$. For any k -index $J = (j_0, \dots, j_{k-1}) \in \underline{n}^k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_J &= T(\tilde{E}_J) = T(\tilde{E}_{j_0}, \dots, \tilde{E}_{j_{k-1}}) = T \left(\sum_{i_0 \in \underline{n}} a_{j_0}^{i_0} E_{i_0}, \dots, \sum_{i_{k-1} \in \underline{n}} a_{j_{k-1}}^{i_{k-1}} E_{i_{k-1}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{I \in \underline{n}^k} a_{j_0}^{i_0} \dots a_{j_{k-1}}^{i_{k-1}} T(E_{i_0}, \dots, E_{i_{k-1}}) \\ &= \sum_{I \in \underline{n}^k} a_{j_0}^{i_0} \dots a_{j_{k-1}}^{i_{k-1}} T_I. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Exercise 10.6 (Alternating covariant tensors). Let V be a finite-dimensional real vector space. Let $T \in \text{Ten}^k V$. Suppose that with respect to some basis ε^i of V^*

$$T = \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k < n} T_{i_1 \dots i_k} \varepsilon^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varepsilon^{i_k}.$$

Show that T is alternating iff:

(a) for all $\sigma \in S_k$: $T_{i_{\sigma(1)} \dots i_{\sigma(k)}} = \text{sgn}(\sigma) T_{i_1 \dots i_k}$.

Solution. Suppose that T is alternating, then for all $\sigma \in S_n$ we have

$$T_{i_{\sigma(1)} \dots i_{\sigma(k)}} = T(E_{i_{\sigma(1)}}, \dots, E_{i_{\sigma(k)}}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) T(E_{i_1}, \dots, E_{i_k}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) T_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$$

Conversely if $T_{i_{\sigma(1)} \dots i_{\sigma(k)}} = \text{sgn} \sigma T_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$, then in particular

$$T_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_j, \dots, \alpha_k} = -T_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j, \dots, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_k}$$

Then for any $X_1, \dots, X_k \in V$ the multi-linearity of T yields (we use the summation convention where repeated indices are summed over)

$$\begin{aligned} T(X_1, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_j, \dots, X_k) &= T(X_1^{\alpha_1} E_{\alpha_1}, \dots, X_i^{\alpha_i} E_{\alpha_i}, \dots, X_j^{\alpha_j} E_{\alpha_j}, \dots, X_k^{\alpha_k} E_{\alpha_k}) \\ &= X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_k^{\alpha_k} T(E_{\alpha_1}, \dots, E_{\alpha_i}, \dots, E_{\alpha_j}, \dots, E_{\alpha_k}) \\ &= -X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_k^{\alpha_k} T(E_{\alpha_1}, \dots, E_{\alpha_j}, \dots, E_{\alpha_i}, \dots, E_{\alpha_k}) \\ &= -T(X_1, \dots, X_j, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_k) \end{aligned}$$

Hence T is alternating. □

(b) $T(\dots, X_s, \dots, X_t, \dots) = -T(\dots, X_s, \dots, X_t, \dots)$

Solution. Both sides are multilinear in the ω^i and so the result follows from the one for the basis covectors $\omega^i = \varepsilon^{\ell_i}$, which we have seen in the lecture.

Nevertheless, let us carry out the argument in detail. In the lecture we saw

$$\varepsilon^{\ell_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \varepsilon^{\ell_k}(X_1, \dots, X_k) = \det(\varepsilon^{\ell_r}(X_j))_r^j$$

(on the right hand side we have the determinant of a $k \times k$ matrix ($r, j = 1, \dots, k$; think of j as the column index and r as the row index).

Now for arbitrary covectors $\omega^r = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \omega_{\ell}^r \varepsilon^{\ell}$ we have (for the first equality we use the multilinearity of the wedge product)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^k(X_1, \dots, X_k) &= \sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{\ell_k=1}^n \omega_{\ell_1}^1 \dots \omega_{\ell_k}^k \varepsilon^{\ell_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \varepsilon^{\ell_k}(X_1, \dots, X_k) \\ &= \sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{\ell_k=1}^n \omega_{\ell_1}^1 \dots \omega_{\ell_k}^k \det(\varepsilon^{\ell_r}(X_j))_r^j \\ &= \sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{\ell_k=1}^n \omega_{\ell_1}^1 \dots \omega_{\ell_k}^k \det \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^{\ell_1}(X_1) & \dots & \varepsilon^{\ell_1}(X_k) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varepsilon^{\ell_k}(X_1) & \dots & \varepsilon^{\ell_k}(X_k) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det(\omega^r(X_j))_r^j \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we multiplied the r -th line by $\omega_{\ell_r}^r$ and replaced $\sum_{\ell_r=1}^n \omega_{\ell_r}^r \varepsilon^{\ell_r} = \omega^r$. □