Exercise 12.1. Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be the smooth map

$$
F(\theta, \varphi)=((\cos \varphi+2) \cos \theta,(\cos \varphi+2) \sin \theta, \sin \varphi)
$$

Consider $\omega=y \mathrm{~d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} x$. Compute $\mathrm{d} \omega$ and $F^{*} \omega$ and verify by direct computation that $\mathrm{d}\left(F^{*} \omega\right)=F^{*} \mathrm{~d} \omega$.

Solution. We first note that $\mathrm{d} \omega=\mathrm{d} y \wedge \mathrm{~d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} x$. To obtain $F^{*} \omega$ we compute first

$$
F^{*}(\mathrm{~d} z)=\mathrm{d} \sin \varphi=\cos \varphi \mathrm{d} \varphi
$$

and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{*}(\mathrm{~d} x) & =\mathrm{d}((\cos \varphi+2) \cos \theta) \\
& =-\sin \varphi \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \varphi-(\cos \varphi+2) \sin \theta \mathrm{d} \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting everything together, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{*} \omega & =(\cos \varphi+2) \sin \theta \cos \varphi \mathrm{d} \varphi \wedge(-\sin \varphi \cos \theta \mathrm{d} \varphi-(\cos \varphi+2) \sin \theta \mathrm{d} \theta) \\
& =-(\cos \varphi+2)^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \varphi \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

The forms $\mathrm{d}\left(F^{*} \omega\right)$ and $F^{*}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)$ are both zero because they are 3 -forms on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Exercise 12.2. Prove that given $\omega \in \Omega^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ a $k$-form the Exterior derivative $\mathrm{d} \omega=$ $\mathrm{d}\left(\sum_{I} \omega_{I} \mathrm{~d} x^{I}\right):=\sum_{I} d \omega_{I} \wedge d x^{I}$ has the following properties:
(a) d is $\mathbb{R}$-linear

Solution. This one is easy.
(b) $\mathrm{d}(\omega \wedge \eta)=\mathrm{d} \omega \wedge \eta+(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}(\omega)} \omega \wedge \mathrm{d} \eta$

Solution. Let $a=\operatorname{deg} \omega$ and $b=\operatorname{deg} \eta$. The form $\omega$ can be expressed in standard form as $\omega=\sum_{I} \omega_{I} \mathrm{~d} x^{I}$, where the sum ranges over all increasing multiindices $I=\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{a-1}\right)$. Similarly, we have $\eta=\sum_{J} \eta_{J} \mathrm{~d} x^{J}$ for increasing multiindices $J=\left(j_{0}, \ldots, j_{b-1}\right)$. Hence we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega \wedge \eta & =\left(\sum_{I} \omega_{I} \mathrm{~d} x^{I}\right) \wedge\left(\sum_{J} \eta_{J} \mathrm{~d} x^{J}\right) \\
& =\sum_{I, J} \omega_{I} \eta_{J} \mathrm{~d} x^{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{J}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we note that $\mathrm{d} x^{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{J}$ is equal to $\pm \mathrm{d} x^{K}$ (for some $K$ ) if the multiindices $I$ and $J$ have no common values, and vanishes otherwise. This means that the the form $\omega \wedge \eta$ is already expressed in standard form, so its exterior derivative
can be computed as usual:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(\omega \wedge \eta) & =\mathrm{d}\left(\sum_{I, J} \omega_{I} \eta_{J} \mathrm{~d} x^{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{J}\right) \\
& \left.=\sum_{I, J} \mathrm{~d}\left(\omega_{I} \eta_{J}\right) \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{J}\right) \\
& =\sum_{I, J}\left(\eta_{J} \mathrm{~d} \omega_{I}+\omega_{I} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{J}\right) \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{J} \\
& =\sum_{I, J} \eta_{J} \mathrm{~d} \omega_{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{J}+\sum_{I, J} \omega_{I} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{J} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{J} \\
& =\sum_{I, J} \eta_{J} \mathrm{~d} \omega_{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{J}+(-1)^{b} \sum_{I, J} \omega_{I} \mathrm{~d} x^{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta_{J} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{J} \\
& =\left(\sum_{I} \mathrm{~d} \omega_{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{I}\right) \wedge\left(\sum_{J} \eta_{J} \mathrm{~d} x^{J}\right)+(-1)^{b}\left(\sum_{I} \omega_{I} \mathrm{~d} x^{I}\right) \wedge\left(\sum_{J} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{J} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{J}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d} \omega \wedge \eta+(-1)^{b} \omega \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) $d \circ d=0$

Solution. Take any $a$ form $\omega$ and write $\omega=\sum_{I} \omega_{I} \mathrm{~d} x^{I}$, for increasing multiindices $I=\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{a-1}\right)$. Then we can compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~d} \omega) & =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~d}\left(\sum_{I} \omega_{I} \mathrm{~d} x^{I}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\sum_{I} \mathrm{~d} \omega_{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{I}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\sum_{I} \sum_{j} \frac{\partial \omega_{I}}{\partial x^{j}} \mathrm{~d} x^{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{I}\right) \\
& =\sum_{I} \sum_{j} \mathrm{~d} \frac{\partial \omega_{I}}{\partial x^{j}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{I} \\
& =\sum_{I} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \frac{\partial^{2} \omega_{I}}{\partial x^{j} \partial x^{k}} \mathrm{~d} x^{k} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we can see that the factor $\sum_{j} \sum_{k} \frac{\partial^{2} \omega_{I}}{\partial x^{j} \partial x^{k}} \mathrm{~d} x^{k} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j}$ vanishes because the wedge product $\mathrm{d} x^{k} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j}$ is antisymmetric, while the partial derivative $\frac{\partial^{2} \omega_{I}}{\partial x^{j} \partial x^{k}}$ is symmetric. We conclude that $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{d} \omega)=0$.
(d) Given $F: U \rightarrow V$ a smooth map, $\mathrm{d}\left(F^{*} \omega\right)=F^{*} \mathrm{~d} \omega$.

Solution. Let $\omega \in \Omega^{p}(M)$ be a smooth $p$-form on a manifold $M$. Let us show that for any smooth map $F: N \rightarrow M$ we have the identity $\mathrm{d}\left(F^{*} \omega\right)=F^{*}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)$.

To show that the identity holds at some point $p \in N$, we take a chart $(U, \varphi)$ of $M$ that covers the point $F(p)$. Over the set $U$, the form $\omega$ can be written as

$$
\omega=\sum_{i_{0}<\cdots<i_{p-1}} \omega_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{p-1}} \mathrm{~d} \varphi^{i_{0}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \varphi^{i_{p-1}}
$$

Then on the set $F^{-1}(U)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{*} \omega & =\sum_{i_{0}<\cdots<i_{p-1}} F^{*} \omega_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{p-1}} F^{*}\left(\mathrm{~d} \varphi^{i_{0}}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge F^{*}\left(\mathrm{~d} \varphi^{i_{p-1}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i_{0}<\cdots<i_{p-1}} F^{*} \omega_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{p-1}} \mathrm{~d}\left(F^{*} \varphi^{i_{0}}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{d}\left(F^{*} \varphi^{i_{p-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used the fact that the pullback operator commutes with sums and wedge products (which follows easily from the definitions) and the identity $F^{*}(\mathrm{~d} h)=\mathrm{d}\left(F^{*} h\right)$ for the case that $h \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ (which is equivalent to the chain rule for the composite function $h \circ F)$. Using these same facts we can finish the computation as follows. Applying the differential operator, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\left(F^{*} \omega\right)= & \sum_{i_{0}<\cdots<i_{p-1}} \mathrm{~d}\left(F^{*} \omega_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{p-1}}\right) \wedge \mathrm{d}\left(F^{*} \varphi^{i_{0}}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{d}\left(F^{*} \varphi^{i_{p-1}}\right) \\
& \left(\text { there are no more terms since } \mathrm{dd}\left(F^{*} \varphi^{i}\right)=0 \text { for all } i\right) \\
= & \sum_{i_{0}<\cdots<i_{p-1}} F^{*}\left(\mathrm{~d} \omega_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{p-1}}\right) \wedge F^{*}\left(\mathrm{~d} \varphi^{i_{0}}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge F^{*}\left(\mathrm{~d} \varphi^{i_{p-1}}\right) \\
= & F^{*}\left(\sum_{i_{0}<\cdots<i_{p-1}} \mathrm{~d} \omega_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{p-1}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \varphi^{i_{0}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \varphi^{i_{p-1}}\right) \\
= & F^{*}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the identity $\mathrm{d}\left(F^{*} \omega\right)=F^{*}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)$ holds on the set $F^{-1}(U)$, and in particular, at the point $p$. Since the point $p \in N$ is arbitrary, we conclude that the identity holds on the whole manifold $N$.

Exercise 12.3. Suppose that $M$ is a smooth manifold which is the union of two orientable open sub-manifolds with connected intersection. Show that then $M$ is orientable. Use this to prove that $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ is orientable.

Solution. Let $M=U_{0} \cup U_{1}$, where both sets $U_{i}$ are open an orientable, and the intersection $U_{0} \cap U_{1}$ is connected. Let us show that $M$ is orientable as well. Let $\mathcal{O}^{0}$, $\mathcal{O}^{1}$ be respective orientations of the submanifolds $U_{0}, U_{1}$. By reversing the sign of $\mathcal{O}^{1}$ if necessary, we can ensure that both orientation fields $\mathcal{O}^{i}$ coincide at some point of the set $U_{0} \cap U_{1}$, and it follows that they coincide at all points the set $U_{0} \cap U_{1}$, since this set is open and connected. Thus we can define an orientation field $\mathcal{O}$ on $M$ by the formula

$$
\mathcal{O}_{q}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{O}_{q}^{0} \text { if } q \in U_{0} \\
\mathcal{O}_{q}^{1} \text { if } q \in U_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and it is clear that this orientation field is continuous on $M$ since it is continuous on each of the sets $U_{i}$. Therefore $\mathcal{O}$ is an orientation on $M$, which proves that $M$ is an orientable manifold.

For the case of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ (for $n \geq 2$ ), denoting $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ the north and south poles, the stereographic charts show that each open set $U_{i}=\mathbb{S}^{n} \backslash P_{i}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and therefore orientable. The intersection $U_{0} \cap U_{1}$ is connected. (In fact, it is homotopically equivalent to the Equator, which is an $(n-1)$-sphere. Here we use the fact that $n \geq 2$.) Thus by the theorem above, the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ is orientable.

To show that $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ is orientable we use the fact that the $n$-torus $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ is orientable for all $n$.

Another way to show that $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ is orientable is by proving the following theorem: If $M$ is an orientable manifold, then any regular level set $h^{-1}(c)$ of a function $h \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ is an orientable hypersurface. Another (similar) theorem says that an embedded hypersurface of an orientable manifold is orientable if (and only if!) it admits a transverse vector field.

Exercise 12.4. * Let $M$ a smooth manifold. Show that $\mathrm{T} M$ and $\mathrm{T}^{*} M$ are always orientable manifolds.

Solution. We will just show that $\mathrm{T} M$ is orientable. (The case of $\mathrm{T}^{*} M$ can be treated similarly.) We first recall the natural smooth structure of the manifold TM. Denote $\pi: \mathrm{TM} \rightarrow M$ the natural projection that maps each $(p, v) \in T M$ to the point $p \in M$.

Local parametrizations of TM: For each smooth local parametrization $\varphi: \widetilde{U} \rightarrow U$ of $M$ (where $\widetilde{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, U \subseteq M$ are open sets) we define a local parametrization $\Phi: \widetilde{U} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U)$ of $\mathrm{T} M$ by the formula

$$
\Phi(x, v)=\left(\varphi(x), D_{x} \varphi(v)\right)
$$

The local parametrizations obtained in this way form a smooth parametrization atlas for $T M$.

Transition maps: Suppose $\psi: \widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ is another local parametrization of $M$ and $\Psi: \widetilde{V} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(V)$ is the corresponding local parametrization of $\mathrm{T} M$, defined by the formula $\Psi(y, w)=\left(\psi(y), D_{y} \psi(w)\right)$. Then the transition map from $\Phi$ to $\Psi$ is the $\operatorname{map} \Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi: \varphi^{-1}(U \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(U \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by

$$
\Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi(x, v)=\left(\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi(x), \mathrm{D}_{x}\left(\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi\right)(v)\right)=:(y, w)
$$

Now let us show that TM is orientable. We claim that there exists an orientation of the manifold TM such that the local parametrizations of TM defined above are positive (i.e orientation preserving). For this, it suffices to show that for any pair of local parametrizations $\Phi, \Psi$ as defined above, the differential $\mathrm{D}_{(x, v)}\left(\Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi\right)$ of the transition map at any point $(x, v) \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi\right)=\varphi^{-1}(U \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ has positive determinant. (See Proposition 7.1.8 of the lecture notes.)

The differential $\mathrm{D}_{(x, v)}\left(\Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi\right)$ is represented in standard coordinates by a $2 n \times 2 n$ matrix

$$
\left[\mathrm{D}_{(x, v)}\left(\Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi\right)\right]=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\frac{\partial y^{j}}{\partial x^{i}}\right)_{i, j} & \left(\frac{\partial y^{j}}{\partial v^{i}}\right)_{i, j} \\
\left(\frac{\partial w^{j}}{\partial x^{i}}\right)_{i, j} & \left(\frac{\partial w^{j}}{\partial v^{i}}\right)_{i, j}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[\mathrm{D}_{x}\left(\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi\right)\right]} & 0 \\
* & {\left[\mathrm{D}_{x}\left(\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi\right)\right]}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which has positive determinant

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\mathrm{D}_{(x, v)}\left(\Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi\right)\right]=\left(\operatorname{det}\left[\mathrm{D}_{x}\left(\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi\right)\right]\right)^{2}>0
$$

as needed.
Recall that a smooth covering map $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ is a smooth surjective morphism such that for each $p \in M$ there exists a open neighbourhood $U$ in $M$ with $\pi^{-1}(U)=$ $\coprod_{i=1}^{k} V_{i}$ with $\left.\pi\right|_{V_{i}}: V_{i} \rightarrow U$ is a diffeomorphism.

The following two results explain how orientations behave with respect to smooth covering maps and give us criteria to show when a manifold is not orientable.

Theorem Let $E$ be a connected, oriented, smooth manifold and $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth normal covering map. ${ }^{1}$ Then $M$ is orientable if and only if the action of Aut $_{\pi}(E)$ is orientation preserving

Exercise 12.5. * Using the above theorem, prove that $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is orientable if and only if $n$ is odd.

Hint: Consider $q: \mathbb{S}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R P}^{n}$ the quotient map. Prove that this is a normal smooth covering map. Then prove that the only non trivial automorphism of $q$ is the antipodal map $x \mapsto-x$ which is orientation preserving if and only if $n$ is odd.

[^0]Solution. Let $A: x \mapsto-x$ be the antipodal map on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. This map is linear and represented by the identity matrix $-I_{n+1}$, which has determinant $(-1)^{n+1}$. Therefore the map $A$ preserves the (standard) orientation of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ if and only if $n$ is odd.

Now we must show that the antipodal map resticted to the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$, which we denote by $\alpha: \mathbb{S}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n}$, behaves in a similar way: it preserves the orientation of the sphere if and only if $n$ is odd. For this we use the following orientation $\mathcal{O}$ of the sphere: for any point $p \in \mathbb{S}^{n}$ and any basis $V_{0}, \ldots, V_{n-1}$ of $\mathrm{T}_{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{O}_{p}\left(V_{0}, \ldots, V_{n-1}\right)=\mathcal{O}^{s t d}\left(p, V_{0}, \ldots, V_{n-1}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{O}^{\text {std }}$ is the standard orientation of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. This orientation field $\mathcal{O}$ is continuous since it can be written as

$$
\mathcal{O}^{s t d}\left(p, V_{0}, \ldots, V_{n-1}\right)=\operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{det}\left(p, V_{0}, \ldots, V_{n-1}\right),
$$

thus if we take a chart $(U, \varphi)$ of $\mathbb{S}^{n}$, we see that the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{p}\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{0}}\right|_{p}, \ldots,\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{n-1}}\right|_{p}\right) & =\mathcal{O}^{s t d}\left(p,\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{0}}\right|_{p}, \ldots,\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{n-1}}\right|_{p}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{det}\left(p,\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{0}}\right|_{p}, \ldots,\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{n-1}}\right|_{p}\right)= \pm 1
\end{aligned}
$$

is locally constant on $U$.
Now let us show that $\alpha$ preserves the orientation $\mathcal{O}$ if and only if $n$ is odd. For any point $p \in \mathbb{S}^{n}$ and vectors $V_{i} \in \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathbb{S}^{n}$ as above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{\alpha(p)}\left(D_{p} \alpha\left(V_{0}\right), \ldots, D_{p} \alpha\left(V_{n-1}\right)\right. & =\mathcal{O}^{s t d}\left(\alpha(p), D_{p} \alpha\left(V_{0}\right), \ldots, D_{p} \alpha\left(V_{n-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathcal{O}^{s t d}\left(-p,-V_{0}, \ldots,-V_{n-1}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{n+1} \mathcal{O}^{\text {std }}\left(p, V_{0}, \ldots, V_{n-1}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{p}\left(V_{0}, \ldots, V_{n-1}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{O}_{p}\left(V_{0}, \ldots, V_{n-1}\right) \quad \text { if and only if } n \text { is odd. }
\end{aligned}
$$

To finish, we apply the theorem mentioned above to the covering map $\pi: \mathbb{S}^{n} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{n}$. The manifold $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ is connected and oriented. The automorphism group of the covering map $\pi$ is $\left\{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}, \alpha\right\}$, and it is normal since it acts transitively on the fibers, which are of the form $\{p,-p\}$ for $p \in \mathbb{S}^{n}$. The identity map clearly preserves the orientation, and the map $\alpha$ does if and only if $n$ is odd. Therefore, by the above theorem, the projective space $\mathbb{R P}^{n}$ is orientable if and only if $n$ is odd.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For us normal will just means that the group $\operatorname{Aut}_{\pi}(E)$ of automorphism of $E$ commuting with $\pi$ acts transitively on the fiber.

