

**Note:** The tensor product is denoted by  $\otimes$ . In other words, for vectors  $\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}$  we have that  $\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b}$  is the square array  $a^\alpha b^\beta$  where the superscript denotes the components, and  $\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b} \otimes \underline{c}$  is the cubic array  $a^\alpha b^\beta c^\gamma$ . We often denote components by superscripts because we need the lower index to label vectors themselves.

**Problem 1: Moments of Gaussian mixture model (GMM)**

Consider the following mixture of Gaussians (we look at the special case where all the covariance matrices are isotropic, equal to  $\sigma^2 I_{D \times D}$ ):

$$p(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\underline{x} - \underline{a}_i\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

where  $\underline{x}, \underline{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$  are column vectors and the weights  $w_i \in (0, 1]$  satisfy  $\sum_{i=1}^K w_i = 1$ .

- 1) For  $j \in [D]$ ,  $\underline{e}_j$  is the  $j^{\text{th}}$  canonical basis vector of  $\mathbb{R}^D$ . Prove the following identities for the mean vector, the second moment matrix and the third moment tensor:

$$\mathbb{E}[\underline{x}] = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \underline{a}_i \quad ;$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\underline{x} \underline{x}^T] = \sigma^2 I_{D \times D} + \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \underline{a}_i \underline{a}_i^T \quad ;$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\underline{x} \otimes \underline{x} \otimes \underline{x}] = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \underline{a}_i \otimes \underline{a}_i \otimes \underline{a}_i + \sigma^2 \sum_{j=1}^D \sum_{i=1}^K w_i (\underline{a}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{e}_j + \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{a}_i + \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{a}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j).$$

- 2) Let  $R$  be a  $K \times K$  orthogonal (rotation) matrix. Define the matrix  $\tilde{R}$  whose entries are  $\tilde{R}_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{w_i}} R_{ij} \sqrt{w_j}$ , as well as the transformed vectors

$$\underline{a}'_i = \sum_{j=1}^K \tilde{R}_{ij} \underline{a}_j.$$

Show that the mixture of Gaussians

$$p(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\underline{x} - \underline{a}'_i\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

has the same second moment matrix as the previous one.

## Problem 2: Examples of tensors and their rank

We recall that the rank of a tensor is the minimum possible number of terms in a decomposition of a tensor as a sum of rank-one tensors. Let  $e_0^T = (1, 0)$  and  $e_1^T = (0, 1)$ . Consider the following second-order tensors (also called mode-2 or 2-way tensors):

$$\begin{aligned} B &= e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_1 \\ P &= e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_0 \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes e_0 \\ E &= e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_0 \otimes e_1 \end{aligned}$$

as well as the third-order tensors (mode-3 or 3-way):

$$\begin{aligned} G &= e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1 \\ W &= e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_1 + e_0 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_0 + e_1 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0 . \end{aligned}$$

- 1) Draw the two and three-dimensional multiarrays for all these tensors.
- 2) Determine the rank of each tensor (and justify your answer).
- 3) Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and

$$D_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon}(e_0 + \epsilon e_1) \otimes (e_0 + \epsilon e_1) \otimes (e_0 + \epsilon e_1) - \frac{1}{\epsilon}e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes e_0$$

Check that  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} D_\epsilon = W$ . In other words, the rank-3 tensor  $W$  can be obtained as a limit of a sum of two rank-one tensors:  $W$  is on the “boundary” of the space of rank-2 tensors.

## Problem 3: Frobenius norm minimizations: matrix versus tensors.

The Frobenius norm  $\|\cdot\|_F$  of a tensor is defined as the Euclidean norm of the multi-array:

$$\|T\|_F^2 = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} |T^{\alpha\beta\gamma}|^2 .$$

We recall the following important theorem for matrices.

**Theorem 1** (Eckart-Young-Mirsky theorem). *Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$  be a rank- $R$  matrix whose singular value decomposition is given by  $U\Sigma V^*$  where  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ ,  $V \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  are both unitary matrices and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$  is a diagonal matrix with real nonnegative diagonal entries. Without loss of generality we assume that the singular values are arranged in decreasing order, i.e.,  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_{\min\{M, N\}}$  (where  $\sigma_i = \Sigma_{ii}$ ). Then, the best rank- $k$  ( $k \leq R$ ) approximation of  $A$  is given by the truncated SVD  $\hat{A} = U\tilde{\Sigma}V^*$  with  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{ii} = \sigma_i$  if  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{ii} = 0$  otherwise. More precisely:*

$$\|A - \hat{A}\|_F = \min_{S: \text{rank}(S) \leq k} \|A - S\|_F .$$

- 1) Do you think the analogous problem for tensors is well posed? In other words, given a tensor  $T$  of order  $p \geq 3$  and rank  $R$ , can we always find a order- $p$  tensor  $\hat{T}$  whose rank is strictly smaller than  $R$  and that achieves the minimum of  $\|T - S\|_F$  over all the order- $p$  tensors  $S$  of rank  $k < R$ ?

- 2) We wish to come back to the interesting phenomenon observed in question 4) of Problem 2. In this question we saw that an order-3 rank-3 tensor could be obtained as the limit of a sequence of rank-2 tensors. Use the Eckart-Young theorem to show that a rank  $R+1$  matrix cannot be obtained as a limit of a sum of  $R$  rank-one matrices. Can we obtain a rank- $(R-1)$  matrix as the limit of a sequence of rank- $R$  matrices? And what about tensors?

**Problem 4: Tensors**

1) Consider the tensor  $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

- (a) Write down the matrix components of  $M$ .  
 (b) For the matrix  $M$  of part (a) exhibit an uncountable number of decompositions of the form  $M = \vec{a} \otimes \vec{b} + \vec{c} \otimes \vec{d}$  using the rotation matrices

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- 2) Consider the following tensor decomposition

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Is this decomposition unique? Justify your answer. What is the rank of  $T$ ?

- 3) Let  $\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be linearly independent and  $\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be linearly independent as well. We define  $T = \vec{a}_1 \otimes \vec{b}_1 \otimes \vec{c} + \vec{a}_2 \otimes \vec{b}_2 \otimes \vec{c}$  where  $\vec{c} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is not the zero vector.

- (a) Does Jennrich's theorem apply?  
 (b) Prove that the tensor rank of  $T$  is 2.