

Problem 1. VC dimension of union

- Let $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathcal{H}_i$. By definition of the growth function we have $\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(m) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \tau_{\mathcal{H}_i}(m)$ for any set of m points. If $k > d + 1$ points are shattered by \mathcal{H} then $2^k = \tau_{\mathcal{H}}(k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \tau_{\mathcal{H}_i}(k) \leq rk^d$, where the last inequality follows directly from Sauer's lemma. Taking the logarithm on both sides and using the inequality yields

$$k \leq \frac{4d}{\log(2)} \log\left(\frac{2d}{\log(2)}\right) + 2 \frac{\log(r)}{\log(2)}.$$

Note that this inequality is trivially satisfied if $k \leq d + 1$.

- Assume that $k \geq 2d + 2$. It is enough to prove that $\tau_{\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2}(k) < 2^k$.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2}(k) &\leq \tau_{\mathcal{H}_1}(k) + \tau_{\mathcal{H}_2}(k) \leq \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{k}{i} + \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{k}{i} = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{k}{i} + \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{k}{k-i} = \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{k}{i} + \sum_{i=k-d}^k \binom{k}{i} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{k}{i} + \sum_{i=d+2}^k \binom{k}{i} < \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{k}{i} + \sum_{i=d+1}^k \binom{k}{i} = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} = 2^k \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (Sauer-Shelah-Perles) Let \mathcal{H} be a hypothesis class with $VCdim(H) \leq d < \infty$ and growth function $\tau_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then, for all m , $\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(m) \leq \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{m}{i}$. In particular, if $m > d + 1$ and $d > 2$ then $\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(m) < m^d$.

Problem 2. Least squares and regularized least squares

- We have

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{J}(\beta) := \|y - X\beta\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\beta) &= \beta^T X^T X \beta - 2\beta^T X^T y + y^T y \\ \nabla \mathcal{J}(\beta) &= 2(X^T X \beta - X^T y) \end{aligned}$$

Equating $\nabla \mathcal{J}$ to 0, we get $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$.

2. In this case, we have

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{J}'(\beta) := \|y - X\beta\|^2 + \lambda\|\beta\|^2$$

$$\mathcal{J}'(\beta) = \beta^T X^T X \beta - 2\beta^T X^T y + y^T y + \lambda\beta^T \lambda$$

$$\nabla \mathcal{J}'(\beta) = 2(X^T X \beta - X^T y + \lambda\beta)$$

Equating $\nabla \mathcal{J}$ to 0, we get $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X + \lambda I_d)^{-1} X^T y$.

Increasing the regularization parameter reduces the variance of the model at the cost of increasing its bias towards solutions with a small l_2 -norm.

Problem 3. Linear regression with projections

Refer to the lecture notes.

Problem 4. Bias-variance decomposition

The three contributions are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Noise} &= \mathbb{E}_{x,y} [(\bar{h}(x) - y)^2] \\ (\text{Bias})^2 &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[(\mathbb{E}_S [h_S(x)] - \bar{h}(x))^2 \right] \\ \text{Variance} &= \mathbb{E}_S \mathbb{E}_{x|S} [(h_S(x) - \mathbb{E}_S [h_S(x)])^2]. \end{aligned}$$

First let us compute the optimal estimator \bar{h} :

$$\bar{h}(x) = \mathbb{E}[y|x] = \beta^T x$$

With this we can already compute the noise part:

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,y} [(\bar{h}(x) - y)^2] = \mu^2 \mathbb{E} [\epsilon^2] = \mu^2.$$

Let's now focus on the data-dependent estimator:

$$h_S(x) = \begin{cases} ((X_{\mathcal{A}}^T X_{\mathcal{A}})^{-1} X_{\mathcal{A}}^T y)^T x_{\mathcal{A}}, & p < n - 1 \\ (X_{\mathcal{A}}^T (X_{\mathcal{A}}^T X_{\mathcal{A}})^{\dagger} y)^T x_{\mathcal{A}}, & p > n + 1. \end{cases}$$

Consider the quantity $\mathbb{E}[h_S(x)]$ for $p < n - 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[((X_{\mathcal{A}}^T X_{\mathcal{A}})^{-1} X_{\mathcal{A}}^T y)^T x_{\mathcal{A}}] &= \mathbb{E}[(X_{\mathcal{A}} \beta_{\mathcal{A}} + X_{\mathcal{A}^C} \beta_{\mathcal{A}^C} + \mu \epsilon)^T X_{\mathcal{A}} (X_{\mathcal{A}}^T X_{\mathcal{A}})^{-1} x_{\mathcal{A}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T X_{\mathcal{A}}^T X_{\mathcal{A}} (X_{\mathcal{A}}^T X_{\mathcal{A}})^{-1} x_{\mathcal{A}}] \\ &= \beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T x_{\mathcal{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $p > n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(X_{\mathcal{A}}^T (X_{\mathcal{A}}^T X_{\mathcal{A}})^{\dagger} y)^T x_{\mathcal{A}}] &= \beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T \mathbb{E}[X_{\mathcal{A}}^T (X_{\mathcal{A}} X_{\mathcal{A}}^T)^{\dagger} X_{\mathcal{A}}] x_{\mathcal{A}} \\ &= \frac{n}{p} \beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T x_{\mathcal{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let's define the following quantity:

$$\psi = \begin{cases} 1; & p < n - 1 \\ n/p; & p > n + 1. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}[h_S(x)] = \psi \beta_{\mathcal{A}} x_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Computation of the $(\text{Bias})^2$ contribution:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x \left[(\mathbb{E}_S [h_S(x)] - \bar{h}(x))^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[(\psi \beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T x_{\mathcal{A}} - \beta^T x)^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[((\psi - 1) \beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T x_{\mathcal{A}} - \beta_{\mathcal{A}^C}^T x_{\mathcal{A}^C})^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[(\psi - 1)^2 (\beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T x_{\mathcal{A}})^2 \right] + \mathbb{E}_x \left[(\beta_{\mathcal{A}^C}^T x_{\mathcal{A}^C})^2 \right] \\ &= (\psi - 1)^2 \|\beta_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2 + \|\beta_{\mathcal{A}^C}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We now compute the variance:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_S \mathbb{E}_{x|S} [(h_S(x) - \mathbb{E}_S [h_S(x)])^2] &= \mathbb{E}_S \mathbb{E}_{x|S} \left[\left(\hat{\beta}_{\mathcal{A}} x_{\mathcal{A}} - \psi \beta_{\mathcal{A}} x_{\mathcal{A}} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_S [\|\hat{\beta}_{\mathcal{A}} - \psi \beta_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2] = \mathbb{E}_S [\|\beta_{\mathcal{A}} - \hat{\beta}_{\mathcal{A}} + (\psi - 1) \beta_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_S [\|\beta_{\mathcal{A}} - \hat{\beta}_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2 + (\psi^2 - 2\psi + 1) \|\beta_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2 + 2(\beta_{\mathcal{A}} - \hat{\beta}_{\mathcal{A}})^T \beta_{\mathcal{A}} (\psi - 1)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_S [\|\beta_{\mathcal{A}} - \hat{\beta}_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2] + (\psi^2 - 1) \|\beta_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2 - 2(\psi - 1) \mathbb{E}_S [\beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T \hat{\beta}_{\mathcal{A}}]. \end{aligned}$$

Focusing on the last term which exists only when $p > n + 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_S [\beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T \hat{\beta}_{\mathcal{A}}] &= \mathbb{E}_S [\beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T X_{\mathcal{A}}^T (X_{\mathcal{A}} X_{\mathcal{A}}^T)^\dagger y] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_S [\beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T X_{\mathcal{A}}^T (X_{\mathcal{A}} X_{\mathcal{A}}^T)^\dagger (X_{\mathcal{A}} \beta_{\mathcal{A}} + X_{\mathcal{A}^C} \beta_{\mathcal{A}^C} + \mu \epsilon)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_S [\beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T X_{\mathcal{A}}^T (X_{\mathcal{A}} X_{\mathcal{A}}^T)^\dagger X_{\mathcal{A}} \beta_{\mathcal{A}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_S [\text{Tr}\{\beta_{\mathcal{A}} \beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T X_{\mathcal{A}}^T (X_{\mathcal{A}} X_{\mathcal{A}}^T)^\dagger X_{\mathcal{A}}\}] \\ &= \text{Tr}\{\beta_{\mathcal{A}} \beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T \mathbb{E}_S [X_{\mathcal{A}}^T (X_{\mathcal{A}} X_{\mathcal{A}}^T)^\dagger X_{\mathcal{A}}]\} \\ &= \text{Tr}\{\beta_{\mathcal{A}} \beta_{\mathcal{A}}^T I_p \frac{n}{p}\} = \frac{n}{p} \|\beta_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging back, we get

$$\mathbb{E}_S \mathbb{E}_{x|S} [(h_S(x) - \mathbb{E}_S [h_S(x)])^2] = \mathbb{E}_S [\|\beta_{\mathcal{A}} - \hat{\beta}_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2] - (1 - \psi)^2 \|\beta_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Variance} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}_S [\|\beta_{\mathcal{A}} - \hat{\beta}_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2], & p < n - 1 \\ \mathbb{E}_S [\|\beta_{\mathcal{A}} - \hat{\beta}_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2] - (1 - \frac{n}{p})^2 \|\beta_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2, & p > n + 1. \end{cases}$$

By using the expression obtained for $\mathbb{E}_S [\|\beta_{\mathcal{A}} - \hat{\beta}_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2]$ in the class, we have the following contributions to the error.

For $p < n - 1$:

$$\text{Error} = \underbrace{\mu^2}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{\|\beta_{\mathcal{A}^C}\|^2}_{\text{Bias}^2} + \underbrace{\frac{p}{n-p-1}(\mu^2 + \|\beta_{\mathcal{A}^C}\|^2)}_{\text{Variance}}.$$

For $p > n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Error} = & \underbrace{\mu^2}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{\|\beta_{\mathcal{A}^C}\|^2 + (1-n/p)^2\|\beta_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2}_{\text{Bias}^2} \\ & + \underbrace{(1-n/p)\|\beta_{\mathcal{A}^C}\|^2 + \frac{n}{p-n-1}(\mu^2 + \|\beta_{\mathcal{A}^C}\|^2) - (1-n/p)^2\|\beta_{\mathcal{A}}\|^2}_{\text{Variance}}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\alpha = p/n$ and $\varphi = n/d$. Assume \mathcal{A} as a uniformly random subset of $1, 2, \dots, d$ and taking $p, n, d \rightarrow \infty$ with α and φ finite. For $\alpha < 1$:

$$\text{Error} = \underbrace{\mu^2}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{(1-\alpha\varphi)\|\beta\|^2}_{\text{Bias}^2} + \underbrace{\mu^2 + (1-\alpha\varphi)\|\beta\|^2 \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}_{\text{Variance}}.$$

For $\alpha > 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Error} = & \underbrace{\mu^2}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{(1-\alpha\varphi)\|\beta\|^2 + \frac{(\alpha-1)^2}{\alpha}\varphi\|\beta\|^2}_{\text{Bias}^2} \\ & + \underbrace{(\alpha-1)\varphi\|\beta\|^2 + \frac{\mu^2 + (1-\alpha\varphi)}{\alpha-1}\|\beta\|^2 - \frac{(\alpha-1)^2}{\alpha}\varphi\|\beta\|^2}_{\text{Variance}}. \end{aligned}$$

